arXiv:1702.06019v1 [math.NT] 20 Feb 2017

ON CRYSTABELLINE DEFORMATION RINGS OF $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (WITH AN APPENDIX BY JACK SHOTTON)

YONGQUAN HU AND VYTAUTAS PAŠKŪNAS

Abstract. We prove that certain crystabelline deformation rings of two dimensional residual representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are Cohen-Macaulay. As a consequence, this allows to improve Kisin's $R[1/p] = \mathbb{T}[1/p]$ theorem to an $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Global application 4
1.2. Organization 5
2. Notation 5
3. Preliminaries 6
3.1. Construction of a regular element x 7
3.2. Banach space representations 12
4. Representation theoretic input 13
4.1. Diagrams 13
4.2. Types for principal series 16
5. The main theorem 16
5.1. The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_n$ 17
5.2. A criterion for Cohen–Macaulayness 18
5.3. Proof of the main theorem 20
6. Generic split case 24
7. Further discussions 25
7.1. Cyclicity of the module $M(\Theta)$ 25
7.2. Gorensteinness 31
8. Global applications 34
8.1. Presentations of global deformation rings 34
8.2. Global potentially semi-stable deformation rings 37
8.3. Odd primes 37
8.4. Even primes 41
Appendix A. Equidimensionality of completed tensor products 47
Appendix B. Local deformation rings for 2-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$, $l \neq 2$. By Jack Shotton. 49
References 55

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the field of p-adic numbers, L a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, uniformizer ϖ and residue field k. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous representation such that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) \cong k$. After Mazur [33], there exists a universal deformation ring R_ρ^{un}, together with a universal deformation $\rho^{\mathrm{un}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_\rho^{\mathrm{un}})$, which parametrizes all deformations of ρ to local artinian $\mathcal{O}$-algebras whose residue field is isomorphic to k. If $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R_\rho^{\mathrm{un}}[1/p]$, where m-Spec denotes the set of maximal ideals, then $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) := R_\rho^{\mathrm{un}}[1/p]/\mathfrak{n}$ is a finite extension of L and we get a genuine p-adic representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ via specialization. Fix a p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$, where $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ is a pair of integers with $b > a$, $\tau : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ is a smooth representation of the inertia subgroup, and $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ is a continuous character such that $\psi\epsilon \equiv \det \rho \pmod{\varpi}$, where ϵ is the cyclotomic character. We say $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ if it is potentially semi-stable of Hodge-Tate weights $\mathbf{w}$, its determinant is equal to $\psi\epsilon$ and $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}})|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$, where $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}})$ denotes the Weil-Deligne representation associated to $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ by Fontaine (see [17]).

We will denote by R_ρ^ψ the quotient of R_ρ^{un}, which parameterizes deformations with determinant $\psi\epsilon$. By a general theorem of Kisin [30], there is a unique reduced $\mathcal{O}$-flat quotient of R_ρ^ψ, denoted by $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$), which parametrizes all deformations of ρ which are potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$. By this, we mean that a point $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R_\rho^{\mathrm{un}}[1/p]$ lies in m-Spec $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)[1/p]$ (resp. m-Spec $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)[1/p]$) if and only if $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$. These deformation rings have played a crucial role in proving modularity lifting theorems (see for example [31], [25], [26]).

In a recent paper [40], the second author has given another construction of the rings $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, based on the p-adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and his previous work [37]. In this paper, using results and techniques developed in *loc. cit.*, we prove the following theorem about the structure of $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$ *and if* $p = 3$ *assume further that* $\rho \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ *for any character* $\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$. *Then, for any* p*-adic Hodge type* $(\mathbf{w}, \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2, \psi)$, *where* $\theta_1, \theta_2 : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to L^\times$ *are* ***distinct*** *characters with open kernel, which extend to* $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, *the potentially crystalline deformation ring* $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2)$ *is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Remark 1.2. The assumption $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ implies that the potentially semi-stable deformation ring $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and the potentially crystalline deformation ring $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ coincide.

We will refer to the inertial types τ which are direct sum of two characters as above as principal series types. If $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is potentially semi-stable of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ then τ is a principal series type if and only if $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ becomes a crystalline representation when restricted to an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. These representations are named *crystabelline* in [4], thus explains the title of the paper.

Let us explain how the assumption on τ gets used in the proof of Theorem 5.13. An inertial type τ singles out a Bernstein component Ω in the category of smooth $\overline{L}$-representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. If $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ with $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ then all the

irreducible representations in Ω are principal series. In the course of the proof we use a result of Berger–Breuil [4] that if π is a smooth irreducible principal series representation and $\pi \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^b L^2 \otimes \det^a$ admits a G-invariant norm then the completion is an admissible irreducible Banach space representation of G and one can control the G-representation obtained by reducing the unit ball modulo ϖ. This fails for all other inertial types since $\pi \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^b L^2 \otimes \det^a$ with a unitary central character will admit infinitely many non-isomorphic completions and some of those will be non-admissible when π is supercuspidal or a twist of Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

We also prove a version of the theorem for framed deformation rings, when ρ is split and generic.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that* $\rho = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ *with* $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \omega^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{1}$. *Let* $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ *be a principal series type with* $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ *and let* $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ *with* $a < b$. *If* θ_1 *is not congruent modulo* ϖ *to any of the four characters* $\delta_1\omega^{-a}$, $\delta_1\omega^{-b}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-a}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-b}$ *and* $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) \neq 0$ *then it is Cohen–Macaulay.*

The assumption on the congruence class of θ_1 modulo ϖ is equivalent to the assumption that the reducible locus is empty. In general, we have trouble controlling the intersection of the reducible and irreducible loci.

Remark 1.4. When ρ is an *unramified* extension of δ by itself, including the case when $\rho \cong \delta \oplus \delta$, Fabian Sander has found examples of crystalline deformation rings which are not Cohen-Macaulay, see [44]. However, the weight in those examples was small and so the irreducible locus was empty. So it is not clear to us how far one can relax the assumptions in Theorem 1.3.

The assumption $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ excludes the crystalline case, which corresponds to both θ_1 and θ_2 being trivial. In §7 we pursue a different idea to try and prove that these rings are Cohen–Macaulay: in [40] a finitely generated R_ρ^{un}-module $M(\Theta)$ is constructed, where Θ is a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in a finite dimensional irreducible representation $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$), which in the crystalline case is equal to $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$. Here $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is then shown that $M(\Theta)$ is Cohen–Macaulay and the action of R_ρ^{un} on $M(\Theta)$ factors through the faithful action of $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$). If we can choose Θ so that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi, \kappa(\rho))$, where $\kappa(\rho)$ is the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-representation corresponding to ρ under the mod p local Langlands correspondence, is one dimensional then the module $M(\Theta)$ is generated by one element, and hence it is free over $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) of rank 1. In this case, the Cohen–Macaulayness of the module implies the same property for the ring. In general, it is very hard to construct such lattices, since both Θ/ϖ and the restriction of $\kappa(\rho)$ to K are not semi-simple. We manage to do so in the crystalline case, when the Hodge–Tate weights satisfy $1 \leq b - a \leq 2p$ under some genericity assumption on ρ. We show that in this case the crystalline deformation ring is always of the form $\mathcal{O}[[x, y]]/(y^e + a_{e-1}y^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0)$, where a_i lie in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$ and e is the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of the special fibre. In particular, the rings are complete intersection. The restriction on the weights implies that e is at most 3.

For an arbitrary inertial type τ and Hodge–Tate weight $\mathbf{w}$ we devise a representation theoretic criterion, when the rings $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) are Gorenstein if we assume them to be Cohen–Macaulay, see Proposition 7.9. The criterion is "if and only if", but unfortunately it seems very hard to check in practice, when the

Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity is large. If R^{ψ}_{ρ} is formally smooth, which happens in most of the cases, we show that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ (resp. $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$) are Gorenstein if and only if they are complete intersection, see Proposition 7.8. The proof is pure commutative algebra and relies on a happy coincidence that $\dim R^{\psi}_{\rho}-\dim R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)=2$.

1.1. **Global application.** Our result combined with the results of Jack Shotton proved in [47], when $p>2$, and in §B, when $p=2$, imply that certain global potentially semi-stable deformation rings are $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. This was one of our motivations to prove the Cohen–Macaulayness of local deformation rings. We will describe the result in more detail.

Let F be a totally real field in which p splits completely, let Σ and S be finite sets of places of F containing all the places above p and all the archimedean places. Assume that $\Sigma\subset S$ and if $p=2$ then $\Sigma\neq S$. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F. Let $G_{F,S}$ be the Galois group of the maximal extension of F in $\overline{F}$ which is unramified outside S. Let $\overline{\rho}: G_{F,S}\to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous irreducible representation, which we assume to be modular. We will denote by $\overline{\rho}_v$ the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to a decomposition subgroup at v.

If $p=2$ then we assume that the image of $\overline{\rho}$ is non-solvable and $\overline{\rho}_v$ does not have scalar semi-simplification at any $v\mid p$. If $p>2$ then we assume that the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is irreducible, where ζ_p is a primitive p-th root of unity. If $p=5$ then we further assume that the projective image of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is not isomorphic to A_5 and if $p=3$ then we further assume $\overline{\rho}_v\not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\chi\omega & *\\ 0 & \chi\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for any character $\chi: G_{F_v}\to k^{\times}$ and for any $v\mid p$.

Let $\psi: G_{F,S}\to\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ be a totally even character, such that $\det\overline{\rho}\equiv\psi\epsilon \pmod{\varpi}$. Let $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ be the universal deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}$ parameterizing deformations with determinant $\psi\epsilon$. We will construct a quotient of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ by imposing local deformation conditions at places in Σ. Let $R^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ be the universal framed deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}_v$ with determinant $\psi\epsilon$.

If v is infinite then we let $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}=R^{\square,\psi}_{v}$. It can be checked that this ring is complete intersection. For each finite $v\in\Sigma$ we fix a semisimple representation $\tau_v: I_{F_v}\to\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, where I_{F_v} is the inertia subgroup of G_{F_v}. If $v\nmid p$ we let $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ be the maximal reduced and p-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, such that the semisimplification of the restriction of ρ to I_{F_v} is isomorphic to τ_v. Jack Shotton has proved in [47] and in the appendix below that these rings are Cohen–Macaulay.

For each $v\mid p$ we additionally fix a pair of integers $\mathbf{w}_v=(a_v,b_v)$ with $a_v<b_v$. We let $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ be the maximal reduced and p-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, which are potentially semistable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type $(\mathbf{w}_v,\tau_v,\psi)$.

Let $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ be the quotient of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ corresponding to the local deformation conditions $\{\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}\}_{v\in\Sigma}$, see §8.1 for a precise definition.

Theorem 1.5. *If $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}\neq 0$ for all $v\in\Sigma$ then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1 and $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$ is reduced. Moreover, if for all $v\mid p$, $\overline{\rho}_v$, τ_v, $\mathbf{w}_v$ satisfy the assumptions of either Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.3 then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is p-torsion free.*

The first assertion is proved by a Khare–Wintenberger argument, where we use the work of Gee–Kisin [20], when $p > 2$, and [42], when $p = 2$, as an input. The assumptions on $\overline{\rho}_v$, when $p = 2$ and $p = 3$, appear, because we don't know the Breuil–Mézard conjecture in those cases. The fact that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is p-torsion free if all the local deformation rings are Cohen–Macaulay is a well known consequence of a Khare–Wintenberger argument, see for example [49, §5] or Remark after Lemma 4.6 in [26]. The proof that the rings $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$ are reduced follows from a little bit of commutative algebra, see Lemma 8.5, together with Kisin's approach to Taylor–Wiles method. It turned out that Kisin was aware of our proof, although the statement does not seem to appear in the literature. Jack Thorne has pointed out to us that a similar argument occurs in the paper of Patrick Allen, in the proof of [1, Thm. 3.1.3]. We have also made the effort to treat the case $p = 2$, where the proof is somewhat more involved.

Typically, whenever one proves a modularity lifting theorem, one proves that the surjection $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is an isomorphism if we invert p and pass to the reduced rings, where $\mathbb{T}$ is a suitable Hecke algebra. If $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is reduced and p-torsion free then we can conclude that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T}$. This implies that the modular forms which live in characteristic 0, already know everything about deformations of $\overline{\rho}$ to rings which might have p-torsion, which we find quite pretty.

The properties of deformation rings like Cohen–Macaulayness, Gorensteinness, complete intersection play a role in the theory of derived deformation rings, [18]. We hope that our results and methods will find an application in that theory.

1.2. **Organization.** The paper is organized as follows. After introducing some notation in §2, we recall in §3 some results established in [40], in particular the construction of a certain element $x \in R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. We will show in §5.2 that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if (x, ϖ) is a regular sequence in $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. In §4 we prove a key result on lattices in locally algebraic representations of G, which crucially uses an idea of Vignéras [53] of constructing lattices in locally algebraic representations by constructing lattices in the corresponding G-equivariant coefficient systems on the Bruhat–Tits tree. We prove the main theorem in §5. In §6 we extend the main result to the split generic case under some assumptions. In §7, we investigate some other properties about the Galois deformation rings related to Cohen-Macaulayness. Finally we discuss some global applications in §8. In Appendix A we show that completed tensor product preserves equidimensionality. Jack Shotton shows in Appendix B that the rings $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ with $v \nmid p$, which he studied in [47] under assumption $p > 2$, remain Cohen–Macaulay if $p = 2$.

2. Notation

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $Z \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ be the center of G. Let P be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G. Given two characters $\delta_1, \delta_2 : \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \to L^{\times}$ (or to $k^{\times}$), we consider $\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2$ as a character of P sending a matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\delta_1(a)\delta_2(d)$. Define the following subgroups of G:

$$K_m := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad m \geq 1$$

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_m := \begin{pmatrix} 1+p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & 1+p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

If H is a closed subgroup of G and σ is a smooth representation of H on an L-vector space (or a k-vector space), we denote by $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \sigma$ the usual smooth induction, when H is moreover open, we let $\text{c-Ind}_H^G \sigma$ denote the compact induction, meaning the subspace of $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \sigma$ consisting of functions whose support is compact modulo H.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathcal{O})$ be the category of smooth G-representations on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules, and $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{l,fin}}(\mathcal{O})$ be its full subcategory consisting of locally finite objects. Here, an object $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathcal{O})$ is said to be *locally finite* if for all $v \in \tau$ the $\mathcal{O}[G]$-submodule generated by v is of finite length. Let $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{sm}}(k)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{l,fin}}(k)$ be respectively the full subcategory consisting of G-representations on k-modules. If ζ is a continuous character from Z to $\mathcal{O}^\times$ or to $k^\times$, we append the subscript ζ to denote the corresponding subcategory consisting of objects on which Z acts by ζ.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$ be the category of compact $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-modules with an action of $\mathcal{O}[G]$ such that the two actions coincide when restricted to $\mathcal{O}[K]$. It is anti-equivalent to $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathcal{O})$ under Pontryagin dual $\tau \mapsto \tau^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau, L/\mathcal{O})$, where if τ is a smooth representation then $\tau^\vee$ is equipped with the compact-open topology. We let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, resp. $\mathfrak{C}(k)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}_G^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$ anti-equivalent to $\mathrm{Mod}_{G,\zeta}^{\mathrm{l,fin}}(\mathcal{O})$, resp. $\mathrm{Mod}_{G,\zeta}^{\mathrm{l,fin}}(k)$.

Denote by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ its inertia subgroup, and $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the Weil group. A character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ will be viewed as a character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ via local class field theory, and vice versa. Denote by $\epsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the cyclotomic character and ω its reduction modulo p.

Recall that Colmez ([12]) has defined an exact and covariant functor $\mathbf{V}$ from the category of smooth, finite length G-representation on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules with central character ζ to the category of continuous finite length representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules. Following [40, §3], we define an exact covariant functor $\check{\mathbf{V}} : \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathrm{Rep}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\mathcal{O})$ as follows: if $M \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is of finite length, we let $\check{\mathbf{V}}(M) := \mathbf{V}(M^\vee)^\vee(\epsilon\psi)$, where $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ is a character corresponding to ζ via the local class field theory. For general $M \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, write $M = \varprojlim M_i$ with M_i of finite length and define $\check{\mathbf{V}}(M) := \varprojlim \check{\mathbf{V}}(M_i)$. On the other hand, if Π is an admissible unitary L-Banach space representation with central character ζ and Θ is any open bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in Π, then it can be shown that the Schikhof dual $\Theta^d := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$ equipped with the weak topology lies in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and we let $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) := \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Theta^d) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$. One may further show that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$ does not depend on the choice of Θ. Note that $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is exact and *contravariant* on the category of admissible unitary L-Banach space representations of G with central character ζ.

3. Preliminaries

Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous representation such that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$ and if $p = 3$ then we assume[1] that $\rho \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta\omega & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, for any character $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$. It will be convenient to divide such representations into two classes: we say ρ is *generic* if it is either irreducible or $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_2 & * \\ 0 & \delta_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ or $p \geq 5$ and $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta & * \\ 0 & \delta\omega \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some

[1]If $p = 2$ then ω is trivial and this case is excluded by requiring that ρ has only scalar endomorphisms.

$\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$; otherwise, we say ρ is *non-generic*, so that $p \geq 5$ and ρ is of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta\omega & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$.

3.1. **Construction of a regular element x.** Let $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ be a continuous character such that $\psi\epsilon \equiv \det(\rho) \pmod{\varpi}$. Let R_ρ^ψ be the universal deformation ring parametrizing the deformations of ρ with determinant $\psi\epsilon$. Fix moreover a p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ as in the introduction, and let $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) be the unique reduced $\mathcal{O}$-flat quotient of R_ρ^ψ parametrizing the deformations of ρ which are potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$. As a special case of a theorem of Kisin [30], we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Whenever non-zero, $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) is a local complete noetherian reduced $\mathcal{O}$-flat algebra, equidimensional of Krull dimension 2.*

The proof of Breuil-Mézard conjecture in [40] gives another construction of the rings $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, which we will now recall. In the following we allow ourselves to replace L by a finite extension. By a result of Henniart [23], there is a smooth irreducible L-representation $\sigma(\tau)$ (resp. $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$) of K, such that if π is a smooth irreducible infinite dimensional L-representation of G, then $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$) if and only if $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$ (resp. $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$ and the monodromy operator is trivial). Here $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)$ is the Weil-Deligne representation attached to π by the classical local Langlands correspondence. A more concrete description of $\sigma(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ in the cases we are interested in is given in §4.2. Let

$$\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau) := \sigma(\tau) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det{}^a, \quad \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) := \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det{}^a,$$

and choose a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice Θ inside $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. inside $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$). According to [40, §6.1, §6.2] and [42, §2.3] if $p = 2$ or $p = 3$, there exists an object $N \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ with a faithful continuous action of R_ρ^ψ, which commutes with the action of G, such that the following hold:

(a) N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$;
(b) $N \widehat{\otimes}_{R_\rho^\psi} k$ is of finite length in $\mathfrak{C}(k)$, and is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$;
(c) $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N) \cong R_\rho^\psi$ and $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is isomorphic to $\rho^{\mathrm{un},\psi}$ as $R_\rho^\psi[\![G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]\!]$-module, where $\rho^{\mathrm{un},\psi}$ is the universal deformation of ρ with fixed determinant $\psi\epsilon$.

Let $\Theta^d := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$ and define

$$M(\Theta) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N, \Theta^d), \mathcal{O})$$

which is naturally an R_ρ^ψ-module. Part (b) implies that $M(\Theta)$ is a finitely generated R_ρ^ψ-module, see [40, Prop.2.15]. The following theorem is proved in [40], [42].

Theorem 3.2. *We have $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau) \cong R_\rho^\psi / \mathrm{Ann}(M(\Theta))$, where $\mathrm{Ann}(M(\Theta))$ denotes the annihilator of $M(\Theta)$ in R_ρ^ψ. Whenever nonzero, $M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R_ρ^ψ-module of Krull dimension 2.*

Analogous results hold for $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, by taking Θ a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice inside $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$.

Proof. See [40, Cor.6.5], [42, Prop.2.33] for ρ generic and [40, Thm.2.44, Cor.6.22, Prop.6.23] for ρ non-generic. □

If $\lambda \in \mathrm{Mod}_K^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathcal{O})$ is of finite length, we let

$$M(\lambda) := (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N, \lambda^\vee))^\vee.$$

Then $M(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated R_ρ^ψ-module, and if we apply this definition with $\lambda = \Theta/\varpi\Theta$ then we get a natural isomorphism of R_ρ^ψ-modules $M(\Theta)/\varpi M(\Theta) \cong M(\Theta/\varpi\Theta)$, see [40, Cor. 2.7, Lem.2.14].

For convenience, we write R for $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, and let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice inside V where V is $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, depending on R.

3.1.1. *Generic case.* When ρ is *generic*, a regular element $x \in R_\rho^\psi$ for $M(\Theta)$ is constructed in [40, §5], such that N/xN is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module and projective in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$. We briefly recall its construction. If ρ is irreducible then let π be the unique smooth irreducible k-representation with central character such that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) = \mathbf{V}(\pi) = \rho$. If $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_2 & * \\ 0 & \delta_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2 \neq \mathbf{1}, \omega$ then let $\pi := \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\omega^{-1}$, so that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) = \delta_1$. By definition, N is a projective envelope of $\pi^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, see [40, §6.1], so $N/\varpi N$ is a projective envelope of $\pi^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(k)$.

Theorem 3.3. *There is an element $x \in R_\rho^\psi$ such that the following hold:*

(i) *$x : N \to N$ is injective, and for all $n \geq 1$, N/x^nN is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module and projective in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$; $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N/x^nN, \Theta^d)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank $n \cdot e$, where $e := e(R/\varpi R)$ is the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of $R/\varpi R$;*

(ii) *x is $M(\sigma)$-regular for any smooth irreducible k-representation σ of K such that $M(\sigma) \neq 0$;*

(iii) *$x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2 + (\varpi)$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the maximal ideal of R_ρ^ψ;*

(iv) *the only prime ideal of R containing (x, ϖ) is the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$.*

Proof. It is shown in [40, Thm.5.2] that there exists an exact sequence in $\mathfrak{C}(k)$:

$$0 \to N/\varpi N \xrightarrow{\overline{x}} N/\varpi N \to \Omega^\vee \to 0,$$

with Ω being isomorphic to an injective envelope of $\pi|_K$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{sm}}(k)$. We note that there is no restriction on p in [40, §5]. Let $x \in R_\rho^\psi$ be any lifting of $\overline{x}$. We will still denote by x its image in R.

(i) By [40, Thm.5.2], $x : N \to N$ is injective, and N/xN is a projective envelope of $(\mathrm{soc}_K \pi)^\vee$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$, so is finitely generated and projective in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$. This implies that $N/x^nN \cong (N/xN)^{\oplus n}$ as $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, N/x^nN is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, which is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$ and it is enough to prove the second statement for $n = 1$. Projectivity of N/xN implies that the rank of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N/xN, \Theta^d)$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N/xN, (\Theta/\varpi\Theta)^\vee)$ as a k-vector space, which again by projectivity of N/xN is equal to $\sum_\sigma m_\sigma \dim_k M(\sigma)/xM(\sigma)$, where the sum is taken over all irreducible $\sigma \in \mathrm{Mod}_{K,\zeta}^{\mathrm{pro}}(\mathcal{O})$ and m_σ denotes the multiplicity with which σ occurs as a subquotient of $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$. On the other hand, it follows from [40, Thm.6.6] if $p \geq 5$ and [42, Thm.2.34] if $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ that the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of R is equal to $\sum_\sigma m_\sigma e(M(\sigma))$, where $e(M(\sigma))$ is the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of the module $M(\sigma)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $e(M(\sigma)) = \dim_k M(\sigma)/xM(\sigma)$. This is done in the proof of [40, Thm.6.6] and [42, Thm.2.34], where it is shown that if $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ then $e(M(\sigma)) = \dim_k M(\sigma)/xM(\sigma) = 1$.

(ii) We may assume that $M(\sigma)$ is non-zero. Then [40, Thm.6.6] implies that $M(\sigma)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module of dimension 1. Since N/xN is a projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, the exact sequence $0 \to N \to N \to N/xN \to 0$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to M(\sigma) \xrightarrow{x} M(\sigma) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(N/xN, \sigma^{\vee})^{\vee} \to 0.$$

In particular, x is $M(\sigma)$-regular. If $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ then the assertion is proved in the course of the proof of [42, Prop.2.28] with the same argument.

(iii) Let σ be a smooth irreducible k-representation of K such that $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the R^{ψ}_{ρ}-annihilator of $M(\sigma)$. The proof of [40, Thm.6.6], in particular the exact sequence (38) and the proof of [42, Prop.2.28] if $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ show that $M(\sigma)$ is a cyclic R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module, $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a} \cong k[\![t]\!]$ and x is mapped to t via this isomorphism. This implies the result, since if $x \in \mathfrak{m}^2 + (\varpi)$, then the image of x in $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}$ would belong to $(\mathfrak{m}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}})^2$, which is not the case.

(iv) We may assume R is non-zero. Since $M(\Theta)$ is a faithful, finitely generated R-module, $V((\varpi, x))$ is equal to the support of $M(\Theta)/(x, \varpi)M(\Theta)$. It follows from part (i) that $M(\Theta)/(\varpi, x)M(\Theta)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space. Hence its support consists only of the maximal ideal. □

3.1.2. *Non-generic case.* We now construct $x \in R^{\psi}_{\rho}$ with similar properties in non-generic cases. We assume that $p \geq 5$. We distinguish two cases depending on ρ: *peu ramifié* or *très ramifié*. The assumption $p \geq 5$ implies that $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathrm{ad}^0\,\rho) = 0$, where $\mathrm{ad}^0\,\rho$ denotes the trace zero endomorphisms of ρ, so R^{ψ}_{ρ} is formally smooth of relative dimension 3 over $\mathcal{O}$. In this case $N \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is constructed in [40, §6.2] as a deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$ to R^{ψ}_{ρ}, for a certain $\beta \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$. In particular, N is flat over R^{ψ}_{ρ}.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{w} = (a, a+1)$ and $\tau = \theta \oplus \theta$. If ρ is très ramifié then $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) \cong \mathcal{O}[\![u]\!]$. If ρ is peu ramifié then $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) \cong \mathcal{O}[\![u, v]\!]/(uv)$.*

Proof. Let $R' = R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be its maximal ideal. After twisting we may assume that ψ and θ are trivial, ρ is isomorphic to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $a = 0$.

If ρ is très ramifié then it is shown in the course of the proof of [40, Prop.6.23] that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$. Since R' is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free this implies that $R' \cong \mathcal{O}[\![u]\!]$. Alternatively, see Théorème 1.3(i) in [7].

If ρ is peu ramifié then it is shown in Théorème 1.3(i) in [7] that there are two minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1$, $\mathfrak{p}_2$ of R' such that $R'/\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $R'/\mathfrak{p}_2$ are formally smooth of dimension 1 over $\mathcal{O}$ and the diagonal map $R' \to R'/\mathfrak{p}_1 \times R'/\mathfrak{p}_2$ is injective. It is shown in the course of the proof of [40, Prop. 6.23] that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 2$. Hence there are elements $u, v \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus (\mathfrak{m}^2, \varpi)$ such that $u \in \mathfrak{p}_1$ and $v \in \mathfrak{p}_2$. It is shown in [14, Prop.3.3.1] that $V(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is the closure of the crystalline points, $V(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ is the closure of non-crystalline points and the ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2$ are distinct modulo ϖ. This implies that the images of u and v in $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}^2, \varpi)$ are linearly independent and hence form a k-basis of $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}^2, \varpi)$. Since $uv \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 = 0$, we conclude that there is a surjection $\mathcal{O}[\![u, v]\!]/(uv) \twoheadrightarrow R'$. This induces surjections

$$\mathcal{O}[[u, v]]/(uv, u) \twoheadrightarrow R'/(u) \twoheadrightarrow R'/\mathfrak{p}_1, \quad \mathcal{O}[[u, v]]/(uv, v) \twoheadrightarrow R'/(v) \twoheadrightarrow R'/\mathfrak{p}_2,$$

which have to be isomorphisms as both the source and the target are domains of dimension 1. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (u)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (v)$. This implies that the composition $\mathcal{O}[\![u,v]\!]/(uv) \twoheadrightarrow R' \to R'/\mathfrak{p}_1 \times R'/\mathfrak{p}_2$ is injective and hence $\mathcal{O}[\![u,v]\!]/(uv) \cong R'$. □

Since twisting by a character $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ induces a natural isomorphism between R^ψ_ρ and $R^{\psi\delta^2}_{\rho\otimes\delta}$ it is enough to construct x in the case when ρ is isomorphic to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\omega & *\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and ψ and ζ are trivial, which we now assume for the rest of the subsection. In this case $R' := R^\psi_\rho((0,1), \mathbf{1}\oplus\mathbf{1}) \neq 0$.

If ρ is très ramifié we choose $x \in R^\psi_\rho$ to be any element which maps to u in $R'/(\varpi) \cong k[\![u]\!]$ and if ρ is peu ramifié we choose $x \in R^\psi_\rho$ to be any element which maps to $u+v$ in $R'/(\varpi) \cong k[\![u,v]\!]/(uv)$ via the isomorphisms of Lemma 3.4. We note that in both cases (ϖ, x) is a regular sequence in R'.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume ρ is non-generic and let $x \in R^\psi_\rho$ be as above. Then the properties (i),(ii),(iii),(iv) listed in Theorem 3.3 hold.*

Proof. We first prove (ii). If ρ is très ramifié then it is shown in [40, Prop.6.20] that $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma \cong \mathrm{st} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$. Moreover, $M(\mathrm{st})$ is a free $R'/(\varpi)$-module of rank 1. Hence x is $M(\mathrm{st})$-regular and

$$\dim_k M(\mathrm{st})/xM(\mathrm{st}) = 1. \tag{1}$$

If ρ is peu ramifié, then it is shown in [40, Prop.6.20] that $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma = \mathbf{1}$ or $\sigma = \mathrm{st}$. Moreover, $M(\mathrm{st})$ is a free $R'/(\varpi)$-module of rank 1, and $M(\mathbf{1})$ is a free $R'/(\varpi, \mathfrak{p}_1)$-module of rank 1, where $\mathfrak{p}_1$ is the closure of the crystalline points in R'. Since $R'/(\varpi,\mathfrak{p}_1) \cong R'/(\varpi,u) \cong k[\![v]\!]$, we conclude that x is $M(\mathrm{st})$- and $M(\mathbf{1})$-regular and

$$\dim_k M(\mathrm{st})/xM(\mathrm{st}) = 2, \quad \dim_k M(\mathbf{1})/xM(\mathbf{1}) = 1. \tag{2}$$

(i) Since R^ψ_ρ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$, it is an integral domain and x^n is R^ψ_ρ-regular for all $n \geq 1$. Since N is flat over R^ψ_ρ, x^n is also N-regular. It follows from (1), (2) that $M(\sigma)/x^nM(\sigma)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space for all irreducible $\sigma \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. This implies that $M(\lambda)/x^nM(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ of finite length. It follows from [40, Lem.2.38] that N/x^nN is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. For each irreducible $\sigma \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$, the exact sequence $0 \to N \xrightarrow{x^n} N \to N/x^nN \to 0$ induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(N/x^nN, \sigma^\vee)^\vee \to M(\sigma) \xrightarrow{x^n} M(\sigma) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(N/x^nN, \sigma^\vee)^\vee \to 0, \tag{3}$$

where the subscript ζ indicates that we compute the extension groups in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. It follow from part (ii) proved above that the multiplication by x^n induces an injective map on $M(\sigma)$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(N/x^nN, \sigma^\vee) = 0,$$

for all irreducible $\sigma \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. Since every non-zero object in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ has an irreducible quotient, this implies that N/x^nN is equal to its own projective envelope in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$, and hence is projective. Since x is N-regular and N/xN is projective, we get an isomorphism $N/x^nN \cong (N/xN)^{\oplus n}$ as $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-modules.

It remains to show that the rank of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/xN, \Theta^d)$ is equal to the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of R. The argument is the same as in the proof of part (i) of Theorem 3.3: using the projectivity of N/xN and [40, Thm.6.24] we deduce

that it is enough to show that $e(M(\sigma)) = \dim_k M(\sigma)/xM(\sigma)$ for all irreducible $\sigma \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. This follows from (1), (2) and the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicities of $M(\sigma)$ computed in [40, Thm.6.24].

(iii) As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, it suffices to find a quotient ring A of R^{ψ}_{ρ}, which is killed by ϖ, such that the image of x does not lie in $\mathfrak{m}_A^2$. By construction, we can take $A = R'/(\varpi)$.

(iv) Using (i), the same proof as in Theorem 3.3 works. □

Remark 3.6. To deduce the projectivity of N/xN in Theorem 3.5, we can also apply the criterion proved in [40, Cor.2.41] to R/xR and N/xN.

3.1.3. *Prime avoidance.* From now on, ρ can be either generic or non-generic. Note that, if $x' \in R^{\psi}_{\rho}$ is such that $x' \equiv x \mod \varpi$, then x' also satisfies the properties (i)-(iv) listed in Theorem 3.3 or Theorem 3.5. This allows us to choose one which behaves better.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, ..., \mathfrak{p}_n$ be a finite set of maximal ideals of $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$. Then there is $x \in R^{\psi}_{\rho}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.3 in the generic case and the conditions of Theorem 3.5 in the non-generic case, such that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that there exists $k \geq 1$, such that $x + \varpi^k$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{p}_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. If not, we can find $k < k'$ and $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $x + \varpi^k, x + \varpi^{k'} \in \mathfrak{p}_i$, which implies $\varpi^k - \varpi^{k'} \in \mathfrak{p}_i$. This is impossible since $\mathfrak{p}_i$ does not contain ϖ by assumption. □

We will need exclude one special case later, which leads us to consider the following condition on ρ and on the type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$:

(**H**) $\rho \not\cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta\omega & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, or $b - a > 1$, or $\tau \neq \theta \oplus \theta$.

Remark 3.8. The condition (**H**) comes from the restriction of [40, Cor.4.21]. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that if (**H**) is not satisfied, then R is a complete intersection, hence a Cohen-Macaulay ring, so there is no harm assuming (**H**).

When (**H**) is satisfied, we choose x in such a way that it is not contained in the finite union of the maximal ideals of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R[1/p]$ excluded in [40, Cor.4.21]. In particular, x depends on the type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. With this choice, x has the following additional property.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume (**H**) is satisfied and choose x as above. Then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring and $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R minimal over (x).*

Proof. As x is regular in R, Krull's principal ideal theorem implies that $\mathfrak{p}$ has height 1. Since the Krull dimension of R is 2, $\mathfrak{p}$ is not the maximal ideal of R. We know by part (iv) of Theorems 3.3, 3.5 that $\varpi \notin \mathfrak{p}$, hence $\mathfrak{p}R[1/p]$ is a maximal ideal of $R[1/p]$. By the choice of x, the conclusion of [40, Cor.4.21] holds, hence $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular by [40, Prop.2.30]. Now $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} M(\Theta) \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to 1 according to [40, Prop.4.14, 2.22]. Hence, $M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a cyclic $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. Since R acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)$, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and so $M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module of rank 1. If $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ then it is explained in the proof of [42, Prop.2.33] that the same argument works. □

Remark 3.10. In the potentially crystalline case we know that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular ring for all $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}R[1/p]$ is a maximal ideal of $R[1/p]$ by [30, Thm.3.3.8] and one could deduce that $M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module using Auslander–Buchsbaum. To show that the rank is one, it is enough to do so for one $\mathfrak{p}$ on each irreducible component, and this can be done in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.9.

Remark 3.11. The hypothesis **(H)** will be used as follows. We will see in the next subsection that to every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $R[1/p]$ we may attach a unitary $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representation $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ of G. It is shown in [40, Lem.4.16] that if **(H)** is satisfied then for all except finitely many $\mathfrak{n}$ the space of locally algebraic vectors $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is an irreducible representation of G. Thus we choose our x to avoid the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{n}$ where $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is reducible.

3.2. **Banach space representations.** We now collect some results which will allow us to pass between Banach space representations of G and modules of $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$. We keep assumptions and notations of §3.1. First recall the following property of the $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[G]$-module N.

Proposition 3.12. *Let* m *be a compact* R^{ψ}_{ρ}*-module. Then the natural map* $m \mapsto (n \mapsto n\widehat{\otimes}m)$ *is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}).$$

Proof. We first note that N is either a projective object in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, or a flat module over R^{ψ}_{ρ}. In fact, when ρ is generic, N is isomorphic to a projective envelope of $\pi^{\vee}$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, as recalled in §3.1.1. When ρ is non-generic, N is flat over R^{ψ}_{ρ} by definition, see [40, Def.6.11]. Since the proposition follows from [38, Lem.2.9] if N is projective in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, we assume ρ is non-generic and N is flat over R^{ψ}_{ρ} in the rest.

First assume that m is of finite length as an $\mathcal{O}$-module. We argue by induction on the length of m. If $\mathrm{m} \cong k$, we know by definition of N that $N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}k \cong \beta^{\vee}$, where β is defined in [40, Lem.6.7] such that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\beta^{\vee}) = \mathbf{V}(\beta) = \rho$. We need show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, \beta^{\vee}) \cong k$. By [40, Thm.6.10] and the definition of N, the functor $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, \beta^{\vee}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]\!]}(\rho^{\mathrm{un},\psi}, \rho)$, where $\rho^{\mathrm{un},\psi}$ is the universal deformation of ρ with determinant $\psi\epsilon$. The assertion then follows from [41, Lem.4.1]. If the length of m is ≥ 2 then let $\mathrm{m}_1 \subsetneq \mathrm{m}$ be a proper R^{ψ}_{ρ}-submodule and let $\mathrm{m}_2 := \mathrm{m}/\mathrm{m}_1$, so that $0 \to \mathrm{m}_1 \to \mathrm{m} \to \mathrm{m}_2 \to 0$. Since N is flat over R^{ψ}_{ρ}, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}_2).$$

By inductive hypothesis, we have $\mathrm{m}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, hence the assertion using five lemma.

Now we treat the general case. Since m is compact, we may write $\mathrm{m} = \varprojlim_j \mathrm{m}_j$, where the limit is taken over all the quotients of finite length. Since completed tensor product commutes with projective limits, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, \varprojlim_j N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}_j) \\ &\cong \varprojlim_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\mathrm{m}_j) = \varprojlim_j \mathrm{m}_j \cong \mathrm{m}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.13. *Let* m_1 *and* m_2 *be compact* R^ψ_ρ*-modules. Then the map* $\varphi \mapsto N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\varphi$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{R^\psi_\rho}(\mathrm{m}_1,\mathrm{m}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}_1, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}_2).$$

Proof. If $\mathrm{m}_1 = R^\psi_\rho$ then the map is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.12. Since the functors $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{R^\psi_\rho}(*,\mathrm{m}_2)$, $N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}*$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(*, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}_2)$ commute with products, the map is an isomorphism if $\mathrm{m}_1 = \prod_I R^\psi_\rho$ for some indexing set I. The general case follows by choosing a presentation $\prod_J R^\psi_\rho \to \prod_I R^\psi_\rho \to \mathrm{m}_1 \to 0$ and applying the functors $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{R^\psi_\rho}(*,\mathrm{m}_2)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}*, N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}_2)$ to it. □

Let m be a finitely generated $R^\psi_\rho[1/p]$-module and choose a finitely generated R^ψ_ρ-submodule m^0 of m, such that $\mathrm{m}^0 \otimes L \cong \mathrm{m}$. Define

$$\Pi(\mathrm{m}^0) := \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}^0, \mathcal{O}),$$

and $\Pi(\mathrm{m}) := \Pi(\mathrm{m}^0)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$. Then, equipped with the supermum norm, $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$ is a unitary L-Banach space representation of G with $\Pi(\mathrm{m}^0)$ being its unit ball. If m is moreover of finite length, then $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$ is admissible. If V is a continuous representation of K on a finite dimensional L-vector space and Θ is a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V, then (see [13, (7)])

$$(4)\quad \mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi(\mathrm{m})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}, V^d) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}^0, \Theta^d)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L,$$

where V^d denotes the L-linear dual of V. If moreover m is finite dimensional over L, then the Banach space representation $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$ is admissible, which implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi(\mathrm{m}))$ is a finite dimensional L-vector space. As $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}^0,\Theta^d)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, we conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho}\mathrm{m}^0,\Theta^d)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank equal to $\dim_L \mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi(\mathrm{m}))$.

4. Representation theoretic input

In this section, we will prove a key result about the structure of bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattices inside certain locally algebraic representations, using a result of Vignéras [53] on lattices in G-equivariant coefficient systems on the Bruhat–Tits tree as a key ingredient.

4.1. **Diagrams.** Let $\mathfrak{R}_0$ be the G-normalizer of K so that $\mathfrak{R}_0 = KZ$, and $\mathfrak{R}_1$ be the G-normalizer of I so that $\mathfrak{R}_1$ is generated by I and $t := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ as a group. One checks that $\mathfrak{R}_0 \cap \mathfrak{R}_1 = IZ$.

Let A be a commutative ring, typically $A = L, \mathcal{O}$ or k. By a diagram D (for GL_2) of A-modules, we mean the data (D_0, D_1, r), where D_0 is an $A[\mathfrak{R}_0]$-module, D_1 is an $A[\mathfrak{R}_1]$-module and $r : D_1 \to D_0$ is an IZ-equivariant homomorphism of A-modules. This data determines uniquely a G-equivariant coefficient system on the Bruhat–Tits tree of G. The homology of this coefficient system is computed by a two term complex of G-representations:

$$\partial : \text{c-Ind}^G_{\mathfrak{R}_1} D_1 \otimes \delta_{-1} \to \text{c-Ind}^G_{\mathfrak{R}_0} D_0,$$

where δ_{-1} denotes the smooth character of $\mathfrak{R}_1$ (to A) of order 2 sending g to $(-1)^{v_p(\det g)}$, see [36, §3]. Explicitly the map ∂ is determined by the formula

$$\partial([\mathrm{Id}, x]) = [\mathrm{Id}, r(x)] - [t, r(t^{-1}\cdot x)] \in \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G D_0, \quad \forall x \in D_1 \otimes \delta_{-1}, \tag{5}$$

where $[g, x]$ denotes the unique function supported on $\mathfrak{R}_i g^{-1}$ and taking value x on g^{-1}. The kernel and cokernel of ∂ are denoted by $H_1(D)$ and $H_0(D)$ respectively, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(D) \to \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}^G D_1 \otimes \delta_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G D_0 \to H_0(D) \to 0. \tag{6}$$

A short exact sequence of diagrams of A-modules $0 \to D' \to D \to D'' \to 0$ gives a long exact sequence of G-representations:

$$0 \to H_1(D') \to H_1(D) \to H_1(D'') \to H_0(D') \to H_0(D) \to H_0(D'') \to 0. \tag{7}$$

For an A-representation π of G, we define a constant diagram $\mathcal{K}(\pi) := (\pi|_{\mathfrak{R}_0}, \pi|_{\mathfrak{R}_1}, \mathrm{id})$. One has that $H_0(\mathcal{K}(\pi)) \cong \pi$ and $H_1(\mathcal{K}(\pi)) = 0$.

Let π be a smooth k-representation of G of finite length and with a central character. Let $D = (D_0, D_1, r)$ be a sub-diagram of $\mathcal{K}(\pi)$, i.e. such that $D_1 \overset{r}{\hookrightarrow} D_0 \hookrightarrow \pi$ are injective. We naturally have a G-equivariant morphism

$$\theta : H_0(D) \to H_0(\mathcal{K}(\pi)) \cong \pi.$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 4.1. *If $H_0(D)$ is of finite length as a G-representation then θ is an injection.*

Proof. After replacing π by the image of θ, we may assume that π is generated by D_0 as a G-representation. Consider the exact sequence of diagrams

$$0 \to D \to \mathcal{K}(\pi) \to Q \to 0$$

where Q is the quotient diagram $\mathcal{K}(\pi)/D$. It gives a long exact sequence of G-representations

$$0 \to H_1(Q) \to H_0(D) \to \pi \to H_0(Q) \to 0.$$

Here we have used the facts that $H_0(\mathcal{K}(\pi)) \cong \pi$ and $H_1(\mathcal{K}(\pi)) = 0$. Moreover, since by assumption π and $H_0(D)$ are of finite length as G-representations, so is $H_1(Q)$. We need to show that this forces

$$H_1(Q) = 0.$$

The next lemma allows us to conclude, since by definition $H_1(Q)$ is a sub-representation of $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}^G(Q_1 \otimes \delta_{-1})$, if we write $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, r')$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a smooth k-representation of $\mathfrak{R}_1$ on which Z acts by a character and V be a G-subrepresentation of $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}^G M$. If V is of finite length, then $V = 0$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement for irreducible V, since any non-zero representation of finite length has an irreducible subrepresentation. It is enough to prove the statement with $\mathfrak{R}_1$ replaced by $\mathfrak{R}_0$ since the injection $M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{IZ}^{\mathfrak{R}_1} M|_{IZ}$ gives injections of G-representations:

$$\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}^G M \hookrightarrow \text{c-Ind}_{IZ}^G(M|_{IZ}) \cong \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G(\mathrm{Ind}_{IZ}^{\mathfrak{R}_0}(M|_{IZ})).$$

So let M be a smooth representation of $\mathfrak{R}_0$ with a central character and let V be an irreducible G-subrepresentation contained in c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G M$. We may choose an exhaustive filtration Fil$^\bullet M$ of M by $\mathfrak{R}_0$-subrepresentations such that the graded pieces are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{R}_0$. Then c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G$(Fil$^\bullet M$) is an exhaustive filtration of c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G M$ by G-subrepresentations, the graded pieces of which are isomorphic to c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G \sigma_i$ where σ_i is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{R}_0$. Since V is finitely generated it will be contained in c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G$(Fil$^i M$) for some $i \geq 0$ and since V is irreducible its image in some graded piece will be non-zero. Hence we have reduced to a situation where M is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{R}_0$. In this case [3, Prop.18] implies that if W is any non-zero G-invariant subspace of c-Ind$_{\mathfrak{R}_0}^G M$ then the subspace W^{I_1} of I_1-invariants of W is infinite dimensional. However, it follows from the classification of irreducible representations of G in [3], [5] that V^{I_1} is finite dimensional. The result follows.

□

The proof of Proposition 4.1 also yields the following statement.

Corollary 4.3. ker(θ) *does not contain non-zero finite length subrepresentations.*

Remark 4.4. We could modify the proof of Proposition 4.1 so that it works for $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, where F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. However, in view of the main result of [46] we don't expect to find interesting examples, where the conditions of the proposition are satisfied, if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varphi : M \to M'$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}$-modules. Assume that M is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module and M' is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. If $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is surjective and $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective, then φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We first show that φ is injective. Let K be the kernel of φ. Since M' is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, so is the image of φ. Therefore the morphism $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective and we deduce that $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k = 0$ as $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective by assumption. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{O}$ is a PID, submodules of free modules are free. Hence, K is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module and $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k = 0$ implies $K = 0$.

Now let C be the cokernel of φ and consider the exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}$-modules $0 \to M \to M' \to C \to 0$. Since M' is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free and φ is injective modulo ϖ, we obtain that $C[\varpi]$ the submodule of elements in C killed by ϖ is zero. This implies that the map $C \to C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is an injection; but $C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L = 0$ as $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is surjective, hence $C = 0$ as desired. □

We now consider locally algebraic representations. The next result plays a crucial role in the proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let Φ be a locally algebraic L-representation of G of finite length. Assume that Φ carries a bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice Φ^0, which is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module and such that $\Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is of finite length as a $k[G]$-module. Let $X = (X_0, X_1, r) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Phi)$ be a sub-diagram of finite dimensional L-vector spaces and let $\mathcal{X} := (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1, r)$ where $\mathcal{X}_i = X_i \cap \Phi^0$. If $H_0(X) \cong \Phi$, then $H_0(\mathcal{X}) \cong \Phi^0$ and $H_0(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \cong \Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$.*

Proof. The assumptions imply that the condition 2) in [53, Prop.0.1] holds for $\mathcal{X}$, so $H_0(\mathcal{X})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module such that

$$H_0(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L \cong H_0(X), \quad H_0(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong H_0(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k),$$

see the discussion after Lemma 0.7 *loc. cit.*, and [36, §4]. Since both Φ^0 and $H_0(\mathcal{X})$ are finitely generated as $\mathcal{O}[G]$-modules, they are commensurable. Since $\Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is of finite length as a $k[G]$-module, so is $H_0(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ and has the same length as $\Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ by [37, Lem.4.3]. However, it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{X}$ that the morphisms $\mathcal{X}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \hookrightarrow \Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ are injective, so Proposition 4.1 implies that the G-equivariant morphism $H_0(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \to \Phi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective. Hence the map is an isomorphism, as both the source and the target have the same length. The result follows from Lemma 4.5 with $M = H_0(\mathcal{X})$ and $N = \Phi^0$. □

4.2. **Types for principal series.** We recall some results about principal series types from [23]. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to L^\times$ be smooth characters. Let c be the conductor of $\theta_1\theta_2^{-1}$ so that $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the smallest integer such that $\theta_1\theta_2^{-1}$ is trivial on $1+p^c\mathbb{Z}_p$. Consider the type $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ of $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, where we view θ_1 and θ_2 as characters of $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ via the local class field theory. Then using [23] the representation $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ defined in §3.1 maybe described as follows. If $c = 0$ then $\theta_1 = \theta_2 (=: \theta)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) = \theta \circ \det$. If $c \geq 1$ then $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ is just the induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{J_c}^K \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$, where J_c denotes the subgroup $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^c\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{smallmatrix}\right)$; in this case the representations $\sigma(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ coincide.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $\tau = \theta \oplus 1$ with $\theta \neq 1$. Let π be a smooth admissible representation of G on an L-vector space generated as a G-representation by its $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$-isotypic subspace. Then π^{K_c} as a K-representation is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$.*

Proof. Since π is admissible its $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$-isotypic subspace is finite dimensional. Hence π is finitely generated as a G-representation, and since π is admissible it is of finite length.

Since $\theta \neq 1$ by assumption the representation $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ is not only typical for the Bernstein component corresponding to τ, but is a type for that component in the sense of [9]. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau), \pi') \neq 0$ for all irreducible subquotients of π. Hence, if π' is an irreducible subquotient of π then $\pi' \cong \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$, such that χ_2 is unramified and $\chi_1|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} = \theta$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism of K-representations

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)^{K_c} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{(K\cap P)K_c}^K \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \cong \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau).$$

Since taking K_c-invariants defines an exact functor on the category of smooth G-representations on L-vector spaces and the category of smooth K-representations on L-vector spaces is semi-simple, we conclude that π^{K_c} is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$. □

Remark 4.8. Since $\sigma(\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2) \cong \sigma(\theta_1\theta_2^{-1} \oplus 1) \otimes \theta_2 \circ \det$, if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ then we may twist by a character to get to a situation where Lemma 4.7 applies.

5. The main theorem

We keep the notations of the previous section. In this section we prove the main theorem 5.13. We write R for either $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and V for either $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ to simplify the notation. In sections 5.1, 5.2 we place no restriction on the type τ. We assume that τ is a principal series type in the end of section 5.3. By Lemma 3.4, if (**H**) is not satisfied, then R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. *So we can assume (**H**) holds in the rest and choose $x \in R_\rho^\psi$ as in Proposition 3.9.*

5.1. **The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_n$.** Let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define $\mathfrak{a}_n$ to be the annihilator of the R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module

$$M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/x^n N, \Theta^d), \mathcal{O}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{a}_n$ does not depend on the choice of Θ. We have $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$ for any $n \geq 1$, so that there are natural surjections

$$R \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n. \tag{8}$$

Remark that, since Θ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat, $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-flat algebra for each $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *We have $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_n$ and $R \cong \varprojlim_{n\geq 1} R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$.*

Proof. Since R^{ψ}_{ρ} is a noetherian local ring, $M(\Theta)$ is a finitely generated R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, we get a natural isomorphism by the Artin-Rees lemma:

$$M(\Theta) \cong \varprojlim_{n\geq 1} M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta).$$

This implies the assertion by definition of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_n$. □

Remark 5.2. For $m \leq n$, one checks that $x^{n-m}\mathfrak{a}_m \subset \mathfrak{a}_n$, hence there is a natural morphism $x^{n-m} : R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_m \to R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$, given by multiplication by x^{n-m}, whose composition with the projection $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-m}$ is zero.

Since $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}_n$, the surjection (8) factors through $R/x^n R \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$; the target being $\mathcal{O}$-flat, it further factors through

$$\alpha_n : (R/x^n R)_{\mathrm{tf}} \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n,$$

where $(R/x^n R)_{\mathrm{tf}}$ denotes the largest $\mathcal{O}$-flat quotient of $R/x^n R$.

Proposition 5.3. *(i) The surjection α_n is an isomorphism.*
(ii) The ring $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a finite flat $\mathcal{O}$-algebra of rank $n \cdot e$, where $e := e(R/\varpi R)$.

Proof. (i) By definition of $\mathfrak{a}_n$, it suffices to show that $(R/x^n R)_{\mathrm{tf}}$ acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta)$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R$ be a minimal prime ideal over (x). As x is regular in R, Krull's principal ideal theorem implies that $\mathfrak{p}$ has height 1; in particular, $\mathfrak{p}$ is not the maximal ideal of R because R is of Krull dimension 2. We know by Theorem 3.3(iii) that $\varpi \notin \mathfrak{p}$, hence $\mathfrak{p}$ gives rise to an element in $\mathrm{Spec}\, R[1/p]$. Then we have $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M(\Theta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by Proposition 3.9, which induces an isomorphism

$$(R/x^n R)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta))_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{9}$$

In particular, $(R/x^n R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat and acts faithfully on $(M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta))_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Now let $u \in R/x^n R$ and assume that it acts trivially on $M(\Theta)/x^n M(\Theta)$. Then its image in $(R/x^n R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ must be 0 for each $\mathfrak{p}$ as above, hence u lies in the kernel of

$$R/x^n R \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (R/x^n R)_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over all minimal prime ideals of R over (x). But this kernel, being supported only (possibly) at $\mathfrak{m}_R$, is 0-dimensional, hence is exactly the $\mathcal{O}$-torsion submodule of $R/x^n R$ because each $(R/x^n R)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat.

(ii) Since $(R/x^nR)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is an Artin ring, we have an isomorphism $(R/x^nR)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L\cong\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(R/x^nR)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over all minimal prime ideals of R over (x). It then follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(R/x^nR)_{\mathrm{tf}}&=\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}\dim_L(R/x^nR)_{\mathfrak{p}}\\&=\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}\dim_L(M(\Theta)/x^nM(\Theta))_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}M(\Theta)/x^nM(\Theta)=n\cdot e,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from Theorem 3.3(i). □

Set $Q_n=N/\mathfrak{a}_nN$. Then Q_n is a quotient of N/x^nN because $x^n\in\mathfrak{a}_n$. We note that although $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat, Q_n need not be because N is not always flat over R^{ψ}_{ρ}, see the proof of Lemma 5.10 for details.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and Ξ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice of $\oplus_{i=1}^m V^d$. Then the morphism $x^{n-1}:Q_1\to Q_n$ induces a surjection*

$$\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(Q_n,\Xi)\twoheadrightarrow\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(Q_1,\Xi).$$

Proof. We first claim that the natural injection

$$\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(Q_n,\Xi)\hookrightarrow\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/x^nN,\Xi)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, if $f\in\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/x^nN,\Xi)$ which we view as an element in $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\oplus_{i=1}^m V^d)$ in an obvious way, and if $a\in\mathfrak{a}_n$, then $f\circ a=0$ by definition of $\mathfrak{a}_n$, so that f factors as $N\to N/aN\to\Xi$. Since this holds for all $a\in\mathfrak{a}_n$, we see that f factors as $N\to N/\mathfrak{a}_nN\to\Xi$, hence the result.

The short exact sequence $0\to N/xN\xrightarrow{x^{n-1}}N/x^nN\to N/x^{n-1}N\to 0$ induces

$$0\to\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/x^{n-1}N,\Xi)\to\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/x^nN,\Xi)\to\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/xN,\Xi)\to 0$$

which is still exact since $N/x^{n-1}N$ is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. The result then follows from the claim. □

5.2. **A criterion for Cohen–Macaulayness.** In Lemma 5.7 below we devise a criterion for R to be Cohen–Macaulay. This criterion will be checked in the next subsection to complete the proof of Theorem 5.13.

Lemma 5.5. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *R is Cohen–Macaulay;*
(ii) *(x,ϖ) is a regular sequence in R;*
(iii) *the chain complex $0\to R\xrightarrow{x}R\to R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1\to 0$ is exact;*
(iv) *the chain complex:*

$$0\to R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}\xrightarrow{x}R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n\to R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1\to 0 \tag{10}$$

is exact for all $n\geq 1$.

Proof. Since (x,ϖ) is a system of parameters for $M(\Theta)$ and R acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)$, (x,ϖ) is a system of parameters for R. Hence (i) is equivalent to (ii). The sequence (x,ϖ) is regular if and only if multiplication by x is injective and R/xR is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. Proposition 5.3(i) implies that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). Since $R\cong\varprojlim_{n\geq 1}R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n$ by Proposition 5.1 by passing to the limit we see that (iv) implies (iii). On the other hand, if R is Cohen–Macaulay then (x^n,ϖ) is a regular

sequence for all $n \geq 1$, hence $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n = (R/x^nR)_{\mathrm{tf}} = R/x^nR$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since x is R-regular we deduce that (10) is exact for all $n \geq 1$. Hence (i) implies (iv). □

Lemma 5.6. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *The complex (10) is exact for all $n \geq 1$ with $\mathfrak{a}_0 = R^{\psi}_{\rho}$;*
(ii) *$x : (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective for all $n \geq 1$;*
(iii) *$x^{n-1} : (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Denote by C the complex (10) with the term $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1$ in degree 0. Since all the terms in C are $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, we get an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to C \xrightarrow{\varpi} C \to C/\varpi C \to 0.$$

Passing to homology and using the fact that $H_0(C) = 0$ we obtain a long exact sequence

$$0 \to H_2(C) \xrightarrow{\varpi} H_2(C) \to H_2(C/\varpi C) \to H_1(C) \xrightarrow{\varpi} H_1(C) \to H_1(C/\varpi C) \to 0.$$

The condition (ii) is equivalent to $H_2(C/\varpi C) = 0$. Hence (i) implies (ii).

Since $(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is of dimension $n \cdot e$ over k by Proposition 5.3(ii), if (ii) holds, then for dimension reasons $C/\varpi C$ is an exact sequence of k-vector spaces, which implies that $H_1(C/\varpi C) = 0$ and therefore (i) holds by Nakayama's lemma.

Part (ii) trivially implies (iii). If (iii) holds then Proposition 5.3(ii) implies that the chain complex of k-vector spaces

$$0 \to (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \xrightarrow{x^{n-1}} (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to 0$$

is exact for all $n \geq 1$. The snake lemma applied to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \xrightarrow{x^{n-2}} & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \longrightarrow & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-2}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow x & & \downarrow x & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \xrightarrow{x^{n-1}} & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \longrightarrow & (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

shows that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}((R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \xrightarrow{x} (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \cong \mathrm{Ker}((R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-2}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \xrightarrow{x} (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k).$$

Part (iii) applied with $n = 2$ says that $(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \xrightarrow{x} (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is injective. Hence (ii) holds by an obvious induction. □

Let $Q_n = N/\mathfrak{a}_n N$ be as in the previous subsection. By Proposition 3.12 we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \cong (R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that there exist both an object $\Upsilon \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ and a continuous $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-linear map $\phi : Q_1 \to \Upsilon$, such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *for all $n \geq 1$ the map $x^{n-1} : Q_1 \to Q_n$ induces a surjection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(Q_n, \Upsilon) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(Q_1, \Upsilon);$$

(ii) *the quotient morphism $\overline{\phi} : Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \to \Upsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ induces an injection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \xhookrightarrow{\overline{\phi}\circ} \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Upsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k). \tag{12}$$

Then the equivalent conditions in Lemma 5.6 hold.

Proof. By (i), we can choose $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(Q_n, \Upsilon)$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ x^{n-1}$. Tensoring with k gives the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & \xrightarrow{x^{n-1}} & Q_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k\ . \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\phi}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\psi}} & \\ \Upsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k & & \end{array}$$

Using this we will show that the injectivity of (12) implies the injectivity of

$$x^{n-1} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k),$$

hence the result by the isomorphism (11) above. Indeed, let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)$ be such that $x^{n-1} \circ f = 0$, then $\overline{\psi} \circ x^{n-1} \circ f = 0$, hence $\overline{\phi} \circ f = 0$, which implies $f = 0$ by (12). □

5.3. **Proof of the main theorem.** Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of $R[1/p]$ and $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ be its residue field. Then $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is a $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representation such that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. We denote by $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ the subspace of locally algebraic vectors in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$. Let us assume that $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R_\rho^\psi/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$, where $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is the R_ρ^ψ-annihilator of $M(\Theta)/xM(\Theta)$ and x is chosen as in §3.1.3. Then $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is *irreducible*, see Remark 3.11.

Proposition 5.8. *If $\mathfrak{n}$ is as above then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is an absolutely irreducible non-ordinary $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representation;*

(ii) *there is a non-split exact sequence of admissible $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representations of G:*

$$0 \to \Pi_1 \to \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})) \to \Pi_2 \to 0,$$

such that both Π_1 and Π_2 are absolutely irreducible. In this case, ρ is reducible (non-split), and if $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_2 & * \\ 0 & \delta_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_i)$ is a character congruent to δ_i modulo ϖ. Moreover, $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ and $\Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$.

Proof. The claim follows from [40, Prop.4.9], which describes the structure of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ for an arbitrary maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $R[1/p]$. Note that part (ii) (b) of that proposition can not occur as in this case $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ and this would contradict [40, Prop.4.12] and part (ii) (c) cannot occur as we assume **(H)**. The last assertion in (ii) follows from the proof of [40, Prop.4.12]. □

Recall that $R_\rho^\psi/\mathfrak{a}_1$ is a finite free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank e by Proposition 5.3, where e is the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of $R/(\varpi)$. Let $\Pi = \Pi(\mathrm{m})$ and $\Pi^0 = \Pi(\mathrm{m}^0)$ with $\mathrm{m}^0 = R_\rho^\psi/\mathfrak{a}_1$, $\mathrm{m} = (R_\rho^\psi/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$ so that Π is an admissible unitary L-Banach space representation of G and Π^0 is its unit ball. Unraveling the definitions we have

$$\Pi = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N/\mathfrak{a}_1 N, L) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(Q_1, L) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N \widehat{\otimes}_{R_\rho^\psi} R/xR, L),$$

$$\Pi^0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N/\mathfrak{a}_1 N, \mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(Q_1, \mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N \widehat{\otimes}_{R_\rho^\psi} R/xR, \mathcal{O}).$$

Let $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} := \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cap \Pi^0$ so that it is a bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice of Π^{alg}. Let $W := \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$. Since passing to locally algebraic vectors is a left exact functor, it follows from [12, Thm.0.20] that $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \pi^{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes W$ for some smooth admissible L-representation π^{sm} of G.

Lemma 5.9. *The V-isotypic subspace in Π^{alg} generates it as a G-representation.*

Proof. After enlarging L, we may assume that each irreducible subquotient of Π^{alg} is absolutely irreducible and $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) = L$ for all maximal ideals of $(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$. Since $(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$ is of dimension e over L we may choose a filtration of length e of $(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$ by submodules such that each graded piece is isomorphic to $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ for some $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$. This induces a filtration $\{\Pi_i\}_{0\leq i\leq e}$ of Π by closed subrepresentations, such that $\Pi_0 = 0$, $\Pi_e = \Pi$ and Π_i/Π_{i-1} is isomorphic to a closed subrepresentation of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ for some $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$.

The subspace Π_i^{alg} of locally algebraic vectors in Π_i is equal to $\Pi_i \cap \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Since $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is irreducible for any $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}(R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1)[1/p]$ by the choice of x, for all $1 \leq i \leq e$ the quotient $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg}}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is either zero or isomorphic to $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}) \neq 0$ and $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is irreducible $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg}}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is generated as a G-representation by its V-isotypical subspace. Since the category locally algebraic K-representations is semi-simple, we conclude that the G-subrepresentation of Π_i^{alg} generated by the V-isotypical subspace surjects onto $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg}}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Let X_0' be the V-isotypical subspace in $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}|_K$. Then inductively we obtain that $\langle G \cdot X_0' \rangle$ surjects onto $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}/\Pi_j^{\mathrm{alg}}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq e-1$. Since $\Pi_0^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ we conclude that X_0' generates Π^{alg} as a G-representation. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let B be the closure of Π^{alg} in Π and let B^0 be its unit ball. Then the natural morphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, (B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let Q_1^{tf} be the maximal $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free quotient of Q_1. If $\rho \ncong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta & * \\ 0 & \delta\omega \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then N is R^{ψ}_{ρ}-flat. Since $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free and $Q_1 = N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}_1$ by definition, we deduce that $Q_1 = Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}}$. If $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta & * \\ 0 & \delta\omega \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then N is R^{ψ}_{ρ}-flat, when considered as an object in the quotient category, obtained by quotienting out $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ by the full subcategory consisting of representations on which $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts trivially, see [38, Lem. 10.42, Cor. 10.43]. Hence, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-acts trivially on the kernel of $Q_1 \twoheadrightarrow Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}}$ and thus trivially on the kernel of $Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \twoheadrightarrow Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$. Since in this case N is a projective envelope of $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \omega\delta \otimes \delta\omega^{-1})^{\vee}$, Lemma 10.27 of [38] implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k).$$

Since $\Pi^0 = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(Q_1, \mathcal{O})$ we have $Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}} \cong (\Pi^0)^d$. Thus

$$Q_1^{\mathrm{tf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong (\Pi^0)^d \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong (\Pi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee}.$$

Using the filtration $\{\Pi^i\}_{0\leq i\leq e}$, constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.9, and Proposition 5.8 we obtain the following: if ρ is irreducible then $B = \Pi$ and the lemma follows; if $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_2 & * \\ 0 & \delta_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi')$ is a character congruent to δ_2 modulo ϖ for all irreducible subquotients Π' of Π/B. This implies that all the irreducible subquotients of $\check{\mathbf{V}}(((\Pi^0/B^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee})$ are isomorphic to δ_2.

Let $\phi : N \to M$ be a non-zero homomorphism in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$. Then some irreducible $S \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ appearing in the G-cosocle of N will be contained as a subquotient in the image of ϕ. It follows from [40, Prop.6.1, Lem 6.13], [42, Prop.2.27] that the condition (N1) in [40, §4] is satisfied, so that $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(N, \mathbf{1}) = 0$. This implies

that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ does not act trivially on S and so $\check{\mathbf{V}}(S) \neq 0$. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is an exact functor we deduce that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\phi) : \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \to \check{\mathbf{V}}(M)$ is non-zero. Hence, $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ induces an injection $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(N), \check{\mathbf{V}}(M))$.

Since the extension $0 \to \delta_2 \to \rho \to \delta_1 \to 0$ is non-split $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho, \delta_2)$ is zero. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is the tautological deformation of ρ to R^{ψ}_{ρ}, the graded pieces of the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic filtration on $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ will be isomorphic as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representations to a direct sum of copies of ρ, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of R^{ψ}_{ρ}. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)/\mathfrak{m}^n\check{\mathbf{V}}(N), \delta_2) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and so $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(N), \delta_2) = 0$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(N), \check{\mathbf{V}}(((\Pi^0/B^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee})) = 0$$

and thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, ((\Pi^0/B^0)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee}) = 0$. By applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, *)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to ((\Pi^0/B^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee} \to (\Pi^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee} \to (B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee} \to 0,$$

we see that the morphism in the statement is injective; moreover if ρ is generic, then N is projective in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and hence the injection is an isomorphism.

Now assume ρ is non-generic. After twisting by a character we may assume that $\delta_1 = 1$ and $\delta_2 = \omega$. It suffices to show

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, ((\Pi^0/B^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{\vee}) = 0.$$

Since all irreducible quotients of $(\Pi^0/B^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ are isomorphic to $\pi_\alpha := \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \omega \otimes \omega^{-1}$, it suffices to show

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, \pi_\alpha^{\vee}) = 0.$$

Since N is the universal deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$, see §3.1.2, it is enough to show that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\beta^{\vee}, \pi_\alpha^{\vee}) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\pi_\alpha, \beta) = 0.$$

This follows from [40, Lem.6.8]. □

Theorem 5.11. *If τ is a principal series type then every bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module, and its reduction modulo ϖ is of finite length. The closure of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is isomorphic to its universal unitary completion.*

Proof. In most cases the assertion follows from [4, Cor.5.3.4]. For the rest see the proof of Proposition 6.13 in [39]. We also note that it follows from Proposition 5.8 that the closure of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is equal to $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ if part (i) holds and is equal to Π_1 if part (ii) holds. Moreover, it follows from [40, Prop.4.9] that Π_1 is a unitary parabolic induction of a unitary character. □

Proposition 5.12. *Assume that $\tau \cong \theta \oplus 1$, with θ a smooth character of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ of conductor c. Set $c' := \max\{1, c\}$ and consider the diagram $X = (X_0, X_1, \mathrm{id})$ where*

$$X_0 := (\pi^{\mathrm{sm}})^{K_{c'}} \otimes W, \quad X_1 := (\pi^{\mathrm{sm}})^{I_{c'}} \otimes W.$$

Then the following hold:

(i) *There is a G-equivariant isomorphism $H_0(X) \cong \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}$.*
(ii) *We have a G-equivariant isomorphism $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong H_0(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$, where $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1, \mathrm{id}) := X \cap \Pi^0$.*

Proof. (i) By [45, Thm.V.1], it suffices to show that X_0 generates Π^{alg} as a G-representation. Let X_0' be the V If $\theta = 1$ then $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ is the trivial representation of K. If $\theta \neq 1$ then $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) = \mathrm{Ind}_{J_c}^K \theta \otimes 1$, where J_c denotes the subgroup $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^c\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and the character $\theta \otimes 1$ maps $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\theta(a)$. In both cases $K_{c'}$ acts trivially $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ and hence the V-isotypic subspace of Π^{alg} is contained in X_0 and the assertion follows from Lemma 5.9.

(ii) Let $\Pi_i^0 := \Pi_i \cap \Pi^0$ and $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg},0} := \Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg}} \cap \Pi^0$. Since the Banach space representations Π_i are admissible Π_i^0/Π_{i-1}^0 is an open bounded $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in Π_i/Π_{i-1}, which we may assume to be isomorphic to $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$. Hence $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg},0}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg},0}$ is a bounded G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$. It follows from Theorem 5.11 that $\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg},0}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg},0}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module and $(\Pi_i^{\mathrm{alg},0}/\Pi_{i-1}^{\mathrm{alg},0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is a G-representation of finite length. Inductively we obtain that $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0}$ is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module and $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is a G-representation of finite length. The result then follows from (i) and Theorem 4.6. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 stated in the introduction.

Theorem 5.13. *Assume that* $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$ *and if* $p = 3$ *assume further that* $\rho \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ *for any character* $\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$. *Then, for any p-adic Hodge type* $(\mathbf{w}, \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2, \psi)$ *with* $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$, *where* $\theta_1, \theta_2 : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to L^\times$ *are characters with open kernel, which extend to* $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, *the potentially crystalline deformation ring* $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2)$ *is Cohen-Macaulay, whenever it is non-zero.*

Proof. By assumption, the type τ is of the form $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$, where θ_i is a smooth character of $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which extends to $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Since everything is compatible with twisting by characters, we may assume τ is of the form $\theta \oplus 1$, so that Proposition 5.12 applies. We keep the notations introduced in the proposition and its proof.

Let Υ be $\mathcal{X}_0^d$, which is a quotient of $(\Pi^0)^d \cong Q_1$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to verify the conditions in Lemma 5.7 for Υ. First, we have $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong H_0(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)$ by Proposition 5.12(ii) and hence a surjection $\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G \mathcal{X}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \twoheadrightarrow \Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$. Since the centre Z acts everywhere by the same central character the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, N^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{X}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, N^\vee)$$

is injective. Dually we obtain an injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, (\Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^\vee) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N, \Upsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k). \tag{13}$$

Let B the closure of Π^{alg} in Π. Then $B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k \cong \Pi^{\mathrm{alg},0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$. It follows from Lemma 5.10 and (13) that the surjection $Q_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Upsilon$ induces an injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}^{\mathrm{cont}}(N, \Upsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k),$$

so that part (ii) of Lemma 5.7 holds.

Since $\theta \neq 1$ by assumption it follows from Lemma 4.7 that X_0 is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of V and part (i) of Lemma 5.7 follows from Lemma 5.4. □

Remark 5.14. We have just proved that (x, ϖ) is a regular sequence in R, hence (ϖ, x) is also a regular sequence in R. However, we don't know a direct proof of this fact.

6. Generic split case

Let $\delta_1, \delta_2 : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$ be distinct characters. It was shown in [24], [41] that the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for non-split representations $\rho_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_1 & * \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\rho_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ * & \delta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ implies the Breuil–Mézard conjecture for the split representation $\rho = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. In view of the arguments of those papers, it is tempting to speculate that if both rings $R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ and $R^{\psi}_{\rho_2}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ are Cohen–Macaulay then so is the framed potentially semi-stable ring $R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$. We tried to prove this using ideas connected to [16, Ex.18.13], but ran into difficulties controlling the intersection of reducible and irreducible loci in $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$. However, there is one easy case, where our argument works: if $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \omega^{\pm 1}$ and the reducible locus is empty. If p is large then the reducible locus is empty in most of cases, see Remark 6.6.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$. There is $x \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$ such that the corresponding representation ρ_x is reducible if and only if $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ is a principal series type and at least one of the characters $\theta_1\epsilon^b$, $\theta_1\epsilon^a$ is congruent to $\delta_1|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ or $\delta_2|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ modulo ϖ, where $\mathbf{w} = (a,b)$.*

Proof. If ρ_x is reducible then there is an exact sequence $0 \to \chi_1 \to \rho_x \to \chi_2 \to 0$ of Galois representations such that $\chi_1\chi_2 = \psi\epsilon$ and either $\chi_1 \equiv \delta_1$ and $\chi_2 \equiv \delta_2$ modulo ϖ or $\chi_1 \equiv \delta_2$ and $\chi_2 \equiv \delta_1$ modulo ϖ. Since ρ_x is potentially semi-stable both characters χ_1 and χ_2 are potentially semi-stable and Hodge–Tate weight of χ_1 is b and Hodge–Tate weight of χ_2 is a. This is forced upon us if ρ_x is non-split and we may assume that if ρ_x is split. Hence, $\chi_1\epsilon^{-b}$ and $\chi_2\epsilon^{-a}$ have open kernel and if we let $\theta_1 = \chi_1\epsilon^{-b}|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and $\theta_2 = \chi_2\epsilon^{-a}|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ then the Galois type of ρ_x is isomorphic to $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$. Hence, either $\theta_1\epsilon^b \equiv \delta_1$ or $\theta_1\epsilon^b \equiv \delta_2 \pmod{\varpi}$. We note that $\det \rho_x = \chi_1\chi_2$, hence $\theta_1\theta_2\epsilon^{a+b} \equiv \delta_1\delta_2|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \pmod{\varpi}$. So that $\theta_2\epsilon^b \equiv \delta_1|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \pmod{\varpi}$ if and only if $\theta_1\epsilon^a \equiv \delta_2|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \pmod{\varpi}$ and $\theta_2\epsilon^b \equiv \delta_2|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \pmod{\varpi}$ if and only if $\theta_1\epsilon^a \equiv \delta_1|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \pmod{\varpi}$. For the converse direction using class field theory extend characters $\theta_1\epsilon^b$ and $\theta_2\epsilon^a$ to characters χ_1, χ_2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\chi_1\chi_2 = \psi\varepsilon$. Then the split representation $\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ will give the required maximal ideal. □

Definition 6.2. *The reducible locus in $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$ is empty if ρ_x is an irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for all $x \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local noetherian ring and let $B = A[[x,y]]/(xy-c)$ with $c \in \mathfrak{m}$. If A is Cohen–Macaulay then B is also Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. By letting $z = x - y$ we obtain $B = A[[y,z]]/(y^2 + zy - c)$. Thus S is a free $A[[z]]$-module of rank 2 with basis $1, y$. If $a_1, \dots, a_d$ is a regular system of parameters for A then $a_1, \dots, a_d, z$ is a regular system of parameters for B. □

Proposition 6.4. *Assume that $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq \omega^{\pm 1}$, $R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ and the reducible locus in $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$ is empty. Then $R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \cong R^{\psi}_{\rho_2}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ and*

$$R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \cong R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[[x,y,z,w]]/(xy-c)$$

for an element c in the maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$. In particular, if $R^{\psi}_{\rho_1}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay then $R^{\square,\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

Proof. The first assertion follows from [41, Lem.6.4, 6.5] by noting that the assumption that the reducible locus is empty implies that the ideals denoted by I_1^{ps}, I_2^{ps},

I_1^{ver}, I_2^{ver} in [41] are unit ideals and [41, Rem.7.4], which says that the framed deformation ring is formally smooth of relative dimension 2 over the versal deformation ring. The last assertion follows from Lemma 6.3. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ be a principal series type with $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ and let $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ with $a < b$. Assume that $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \omega^{\pm 1}$ and θ_1 is not congruent modulo ϖ to any of the four characters $\delta_1\omega^{-a}$, $\delta_1\omega^{-b}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-a}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-b}$. If $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ then it is Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Lemma 6.1 and the assumption on θ_1 implies that the reducible locus is empty. If $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ then $R_\rho^{\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ by Proposition 6.4. Since Theorem 5.13 implies that $R_\rho^{\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay we deduce from Proposition 6.4 that $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay. □

Remark 6.6. If we fix Hodge–Tate weights $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ and a character $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\psi\epsilon \equiv \chi_1\chi_2 \pmod{\varpi}$ and $\psi\epsilon^{-b-a-1}$ has open kernel then it follows from the Breuil–Mézard conjecture proved in this case in [31], [42] that $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ for any principal series type $\tau = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$, such that $\theta_1\theta_2\epsilon^{a+b} = \psi\epsilon|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and the conductor of $\theta_1\theta_2^{-1}$ is at least 2. Let c be the conductor of $\psi\epsilon^{-b-a-1}$. Hence, if the conductor of θ is at least $\max(2, c+1)$ and we let $\tau = \theta \oplus \theta^{-1}\psi\epsilon^{-b-a-1}$ then $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ and conversely all principal series types τ with the conductor $c(\tau) \geq \max(c+1, 2)$ such that $R_\rho^{\square,\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau) \neq 0$ are of the form $\theta \oplus \theta^{-1}\psi\epsilon^{-b-a-1}$ as above. So we are free to choose θ as we like provided its conductor is large and Theorem 6.5 applies if we avoid 4 out of possible $p-1$ congruence classes for θ modulo ϖ.

7. Further discussions

We go back to the setting in Section 3 and discuss some other properties of potentially semi-stable deformation rings related to the Cohen-Macaulayness. The notations are as in Section 3. In particular, $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is such that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$ and if $p = 3$, then we assume that $\rho \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta\omega & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, for any character $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to k^\times$. Let $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ be a fixed p-adic Hodge type, $V = \sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ (resp. $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$), and $R = R_\rho^{\psi}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$) the corresponding potentially semi-stable (resp. crystalline) deformation ring.

7.1. Cyclicity of the module $M(\Theta)$.

Inspired by the results of [15] we investigate the following question.

Question 7.1. Does there exist a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice Θ inside V such that $M(\Theta)$ becomes a cyclic module over R?

A positive answer to this question would imply our main Theorem 5.13: since R acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)$, we would deduce that $M(\Theta)$ is a free R-module of rank 1, hence Theorem 1.1 would follow from [40, Lem.2.33] which says that $M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module. We show in Theorem 7.5 below that this is the case if we consider crystalline deformations with small Hodge–Tate weights, so that $V = \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1}L^2 \otimes \det^a$ with $1 \leq b-a \leq 2p$. In the next subsection we will show that these rings are complete intersection when ρ is generic. It is not clear to us whether Θ can be chosen so that the module $M(\Theta)$ is cyclic in general.

By Nakayama's lemma, $M(\Theta)$ is cyclic over R if and only if $M(\Theta)/\mathfrak{m}_R M(\Theta)$ is a cyclic k-module, which holds if and only if

$$\textbf{(C)} \qquad \dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, (N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho} k)^\vee) \leq 1.$$

The above dimension equals 0 if and only if $M(\Theta) = R = 0$. To ease the notation we let

$$\kappa(\rho) := (N\widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho} k)^\vee.$$

If ρ is either irreducible or $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_2 & * \\ 0 & \delta_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2 \neq \omega^{\pm 1}$ then $\kappa(\rho)$ is what Colmez calls *atome automorphe* attached to ρ in [12, VII.4], if $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta\omega & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then $\kappa(\rho)$ is the representation denoted by β in [40, §6.2], if $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta & * \\ 0 & \delta\omega \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then the structure of $\kappa(\rho)$ is discussed in [11, Prop. 6.21, Rem. 6.22, 7.6].

Definition 7.2. *A Serre weight of ρ is a smooth irreducible representation σ of K such that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \kappa(\rho)) \neq 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ denote the set of Serre weights of ρ.

Up to normalization, the above definition of Serre weights of ρ coincides with the usual one given in [10]. We refer to [40, Rem.6.2,6.3], [42, Rem.2.29] and the proof of [40, Prop.6.20] for the description of $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$. We write $\overline{V}$ for the semi-simplification of $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ for any K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\Theta \subset V$ and $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{V})$ the set of Jordan-Hölder factors of $\overline{V}$. The above discussion gives the following simple criterion for $M(\Theta)$ to be cyclic.

Lemma 7.3. *If, taking into account of multiplicities, $\mathrm{JH}(\overline{V})$ contains at most one Serre weight of ρ, then $M(\Theta)$ is a cyclic R-module.*

Proof. By the above discussion, it suffices to note that in all cases the K-socle of $\kappa(\rho)$ is multiplicity free. Indeed, if ρ is generic, this follows from [40, Rem. 6.2,6.3], [42, Rem.2.29]; if ρ is non-generic, this follows from the proof of [40, Prop.6.20], where the representation $\kappa(\rho)$ is denoted by β. □

We will use Lemma 7.3 to show that crystalline deformation rings in small HT-weights are Cohen-Macaulay, which can be regarded as a complement of our main theorem. We need the following results of Breuil [5] and Morra [34, 35]. We assume $p \geq 3$ because this is imposed in [34, 35].

Theorem 7.4. *Assume $p \geq 3$. Let π be an absolutely irreducible smooth admissible k-representation of G. (i) Assume π is a supersingular representation. Then*

1. *viewed as an I-representation, π^{I_1} is isomorphic to $\theta \oplus \theta^s$ for some smooth character $\theta : I \to k^\times$ where $\theta^s : I \to k^\times$ is the character obtained by conjugating θ by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$;*
2. *$\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi)$ is always of length 2 and is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta)^{\mathrm{ss}}$; moreover,*

$$(\pi/\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi))^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta\alpha \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta^s\alpha.$$

   where $\alpha : I \to k^\times$ is the character sending $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ pc & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\overline{a}\overline{d}^{-1}$;
3. *for any smooth character $\theta' : I \to k^\times$ such that $\theta' \neq \theta'^s$, there exists no K-equivariant embedding $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta' \hookrightarrow \pi$, moreover*

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta', \pi) \leq 1.$$

(ii) Assume $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ *is a principal series, then* $\pi^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi$ *and*

$$(\pi/\pi^{K_1})^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi\alpha.$$

(iii) Assume $\pi = \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \det$ *is a special series, where* Sp *denotes the Steinberg representation, then* $\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi) = \pi^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} k^2 \otimes \chi \circ \det$ *and*

$$(\pi/\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi))^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi\alpha.$$

Proof. (i) (1) is just [5, Cor.4.1.4] and (2) is a consequence of [34, Thm.1.1]. For (3), the first statement follows from [35, Thm.1.4] which determines π^{K_1}; note that the assumption $\theta' \neq \theta'^s$ ensures that $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \theta'$ is indecomposable (see [8, §2]). The second statement follows from (1) by Frobenius reciprocity.

(ii) This is a consequence of [34, Thm.1.2]. Precisely, in *loc.cit.* the socle filtration of $\pi|_K$ is determined, from which we can determine π^{K_1} and $(\pi/\pi^{K_1})^{K_1}$.

(iii) As in (ii), this is a consequence of [34, Thm.1.2]. □

Theorem 7.5. *Assume* $p \geq 3$. *Let* $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ *with* $1 \leq b - a \leq 2p$ *and* $\tau = \mathrm{triv}$. *Assume* $R = R_\rho^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *is non-zero. Then there exists a* K*-invariant* $\mathcal{O}$*-lattice* Θ *in* $V = \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *such that* $M(\Theta)$ *is a cyclic* R*-module. In particular,* R *is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. After twisting by a character we may assume $a = 0$. Then the representation V is just $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} L^2$ with $1 \leq b \leq 2p$. It admits a natural K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice, i.e. $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1}\mathcal{O}^2$, whose mod ϖ reduction is $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$. We first recall from [21] and [6, §5.1] some results about the structure of $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$.

(o) By [21, (3.3), p.434], $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$ is injective as a k-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ if $p|b$, and is a direct sum of an injective representation and a non-injective indecomposable representation otherwise.

(a) If $1 \leq b \leq p$, $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$ is irreducible.

(b) If $b = p + 1$, then $\mathrm{Sym}^p k^2$ is of length 2, uniserial and fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2 \to \mathrm{Sym}^p k^2 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det \to 0;$$

moreover $\mathrm{Sym}^p k^2 \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K(1 \otimes \omega)$ as K-representations. In fact, the exact sequence is established in [6, §5.1], which must be non-split by (o); since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2)$ is 1-dimensional and $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(1 \otimes \omega) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)}(1 \otimes \omega)$ indeed provides such a non-split extension, we get the desired isomorphism.

(c) If $p + 2 \leq b \leq 2p - 2$, then $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$ is of length 3 and fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathrm{Sym}^{b-2-p} k^2 \otimes \det) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^{b-p} k^2 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1-b} k^2 \otimes \det{}^{b-p} \to 0;$$

which is indecomposable by (o). Moreover, as in (b) one shows that the cokernel of $(\mathrm{Sym}^{b-2-p} k^2 \otimes \det \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(1 \otimes \omega^{b-p})$.

(d) If $b = 2p - 1$, the exact sequence in (c) still holds, except that the factor $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-p} k^2 = \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} k^2$ is injective, so that $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1} k^2$ is the direct sum of $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} k^2$ and a non-injective representation which is a non-split extension of $\mathrm{Sym}^0 k^2$ by $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-3} k^2 \otimes \det$.

(e) If $b=2p$, then $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2$ is injective as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-representation by (o), and is isomorphic to the injective envelope of $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$ by writing down its Jordan-Hölder factors. Moreover, from [8, §2,§3] and using (b) we have two exact sequences

$$0\to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\to \mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2\to \mathrm{Sym}^{p}k^2\to 0 \tag{14}$$

$$0\to \mathrm{Ind}_I^K(\omega\otimes 1)\to \mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2\to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\to 0. \tag{15}$$

We first treat the case when ρ is irreducible. In this case $\kappa(\rho)$ is just the representation π defined in §3.1.1, which is a supersingular representation. Moreover, ρ always has two Serre weights, which arise as the mod ϖ reduction of a characteristic zero principal series of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We treat separately the above five cases (a)-(e).

(a) In this case we take Θ to be any K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V, and the result follows from Lemma 7.3.

(b) In this case, R is non-zero if and only if $\mathcal{D}(\rho)=\{\mathrm{Sym}^1k^2,\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\}$. We take $\Theta=\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1}\mathcal{O}^2$ and need verify (**C**). Since $\mathrm{Sym}^pk^2\cong\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(1\otimes\omega)$ and $1\otimes\omega\neq(1\otimes\omega)^s$, (**C**) holds by Theorem 7.4(i)(3).

(c) If $\mathrm{Sym}^{b-2-p}k^2\otimes\det\in\mathcal{D}(\rho)$, we take Θ to be any K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V and the result follows from Lemma 7.3. If $\mathcal{D}(\rho)=\{\mathrm{Sym}^{b-p}k^2,\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1-b}k^2\otimes\det{}^{b-p}\}$, we take $\Theta=\mathrm{Sym}^{b-1}\mathcal{O}^2$ and apply the same argument as in (b).

(d) If $b=2p-1$, the situation is different from (c) since $\omega^{b-p}=1$; we take Θ to be the lattice constructed in Lemma 7.7(i) below. To check the condition (**C**) let $f:\Theta/\varpi\Theta\to\pi$ be a non-zero K-equivariant morphism. Since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi)=\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2\oplus\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$, if f is not injective, then it must factor as $\Theta/\varpi\Theta\twoheadrightarrow\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2\hookrightarrow\pi$; moreover this indeed gives a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta,\pi)$. Therefore, to verify (**C**), it suffices to show any $f:\Theta/\varpi\Theta\to\pi$ can not be injective. Indeed, since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi)=\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2\oplus\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ and $\mathrm{soc}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta)=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ by construction, if f were injective, we would get an embedding

$$A_1\hookrightarrow\pi/\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi)$$

where A_1 is defined by (17) below. But this would contradict Theorem 7.4(i)(2).

(e) In this case R is non-zero if and only if $\mathcal{D}(\rho)=\{\mathrm{Sym}^1k^2,\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\}$. We take $\Theta=\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}\mathcal{O}^2$. To verify (**C**), let $f:\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2\to\pi$ be a non-zero K-equivariant morphism. First note that f can not be injective. Otherwise the restriction of f to $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(\omega\otimes1)$ would give an embedding $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(\omega\otimes1)\hookrightarrow\pi$, which would contradict Theorem 7.4(i)(3) since $\omega\otimes1\neq(\omega\otimes1)^s$ (recall $p\geq3$). Second, using (14) and the case (b), the image of f can not be Sym^pk^2, hence must be $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$. In other words, any $f:\Theta/\varpi\Theta\to\pi$ vanishes on $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K(\omega\otimes1)$ via (15). Since $\dim_k\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det,\pi)=1$, (**C**) is verified.

Next assume ρ is reducible. The set $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ of Serre weights of ρ consists of $\{\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2,\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2\}$ if ρ is peu ramifié or $\rho\sim\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\omega\chi_2 & *\\ 0 & \chi_1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with χ_1,χ_2 distinct unramified characters, and consists of one single element otherwise. This already treats the cases (a), (b), (c) and the très ramifié case in (d) using Lemma 7.3, because it is easy to check that $|\mathrm{JH}(\overline{V})\cap\mathcal{D}(\rho)|=1$ (recall $p\geq5$).

In case (d), we may assume ρ is peu ramifié or $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega\chi_2 & * \\ 0 & \chi_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with χ_1, χ_2 distinct unramified characters. Let Θ be the lattice constructed in Lemma 7.7(i) below. We will verify (**C**). Recall that

- if ρ is peu ramifié, the G-representation $\kappa(\rho)$ is uniserial of length 3 with Jordan-Hölder factors being $\mathrm{Sp}, \mathbf{1}, \pi_\alpha$, where $\pi_\alpha := \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \omega \otimes \omega^{-1}$, see [40, §6.2] where $\kappa(\rho)$ is denoted by β.
- if $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega\chi_2 & * \\ 0 & \chi_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with χ_1, χ_2 distinct unramified characters, $\kappa(\rho)$ is of length 2 and of the form $0 \to \pi \to \kappa(\rho) \to \pi' \to 0$, where π, π' are both principal series with π an unramified one, see [38, §8] and [40, §6.1]

We observe that, although $\kappa(\rho)$ are not isomorphic as G-representations in the above two cases, their restrictions to K are closely related: we have $\pi'|_K \cong \pi_\alpha|_K$ and $\pi|_K \cong \pi_{\mathbf{1}}|_K$, where $\pi_{\mathbf{1}} := \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is a non-split extension of Sp by $\mathbf{1}$. By Lemma 7.7(i), we know

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \mathrm{Sp}) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \pi_\alpha) = 0,$$

hence in both cases $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \kappa(\rho)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \mathbf{1})$. Since the latter space is one-dimensional, (**C**) is verified.

Now we treat the last case (e) (for ρ reducible). If $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \{\mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2\}$, we take Θ to be any K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice and apply Lemma 7.3. Assume $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \{\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det\}$ in the rest. Since ρ is generic, $\kappa(\rho)$ fits in a non-split extension

$$0 \to \pi \to \kappa(\rho) \to \pi' \to 0$$

where π, π' are both principle series with K-socle isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det$. Moreover, $\pi^{K_1} \cong \pi'^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \omega \otimes \mathbf{1}$ as K-representations, and we have an exact sequence of K-representations:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det \to \mathrm{Ind}_I^K \omega \otimes \mathbf{1} \to \mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2 \to 0.$$

Since $p \geq 3$, Theorem 7.4(ii) implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi/\pi^{K_1}) = 0.$$

Therefore the natural morphism

$$\gamma : \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi^{K_1}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi)$$

is injective. We claim that γ is an isomorphism. By [8, Thm.7.16(ii)], the second space has dimension 2 over k, so it suffices to show

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi^{K_1}) = 2.$$

For this we construct explicitly two such extension classes which are linearly independent: one comes from $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1} k^2$ (see (15)) and the other comes from the push-out of a non-trivial extension class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det)$ which does exist by Lemma 7.7(ii) below. Denote respectively by c_1, c_2 these two extension classes. Because K_1 acts trivially on c_1 and not trivially on c_2, they are linearly independent and span the k-vector space $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi^{K_1})$. This proves the claim. As a byproduct, we obtain

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det) = 1.$$

Since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\kappa(\rho)) = \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det$, the extension $0 \to \pi \to \kappa(\rho) \to \pi' \to 0$ induces a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det, \pi)$, which by the claim equals to $\gamma(u_1 c_1 + u_2 c_2)$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in k$. We observe that, since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\kappa(\rho)) \cong$

$\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$, $\kappa(\rho)^{K_1}$ embeds into $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2$. Moreover, by construction, $\kappa(\rho)^{K_1}\cong\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2$ if and only if $u_2=0$.

Now we are ready to prove the proposition in this case. If $u_2\neq 0$, we take $\Theta=\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}\mathcal{O}^2$. Since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\kappa(\rho))=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$ appears twice in $\overline{V}$, to verify (**C**), it suffices to show there does not exist any K-equivariant embedding $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2\hookrightarrow\kappa(\rho)$. But, if such an embedding exists, $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2$ would be contained in $\kappa(\rho)^{K_1}$, which contradicts the assumption $u_2\neq 0$. If $u_2=0$, then we take Θ to be the $\mathcal{O}$-lattice constructed in Lemma 7.7(ii) below. Again, to check (**C**), we only need to show that there does not exist any K-equivariant embedding $\Theta/\varpi\Theta\hookrightarrow\kappa(\rho)$. Let f be such an embedding. The image of f can not be contained in π: otherwise, since $(\Theta/\varpi\Theta)^{K_1}=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$, we would get an embedding

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\hookrightarrow(\Theta/\varpi\Theta)/(\Theta/\varpi\Theta)^{K_1}\hookrightarrow\pi/\pi^{K_1}$$

which would contradict Theorem 7.4(ii) since $p\geq 3$. Moreover, this shows that $\mathrm{im}(f)\cap\pi=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$, hence $\mathrm{im}(f)/(\mathrm{im}(f)\cap\pi)\cong\mathrm{Sym}^p k^2$ embeds in π' and in fact identifies with π'^{K_1}. This contradicts the assumption that the extension $0\to\pi\to\kappa(\rho)\to\pi'\to 0$ gives rise to $\gamma(u_1c_1)$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det,\pi)$. □

Remark 7.6. When ρ is reducible and $\mathcal{D}(\rho)=\{\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det\}$, it would be interesting to exactly determine $\kappa(\rho)^{K_1}$.

Lemma 7.7. *Keep the notations in Theorem 7.5 and its proof.*

(i) If $b=2p-1$, there exists a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\Theta\subset V$ such that $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ is uniserial with socle and cosocle being respectively $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ and Sym^0k^2. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta,\mathrm{Sp})=\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta,\pi_\alpha)=0. \tag{16}$$

(ii) If $b=2p$, there exists a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\Theta\subset V$ such that $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ is uniserial, with socle and cosocle being respectively $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det$ and Sym^1k^2. In particular, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det,\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2\otimes\det)\neq 0$.

Proof. First observe that, since V is an irreducible K-representation over L, the same proof as in [12, Prop.VII.4.8] show that if V contains a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice whose mod ϖ reduction is a (possibly split) extension of A_2 by A_1 for some $A_1,A_2\in\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(k)$, then there exists another K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice such that its mod ϖ reduction is a *non-split* extension of A_1 by A_2.

(i) The natural lattice $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-2}\mathcal{O}^2$ has mod ϖ reduction isomorphic to $A_1\oplus A_2$, where $A_2=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ and A_1 is the unique non-split extension

$$0\to\mathrm{Sym}^{p-3}k^2\otimes\det\to A_1\to\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2\to 0. \tag{17}$$

The above observation implies the existence of a lattice Θ such that $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ is a non-split extension of A_1 by A_2, i.e. the class of $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_K(A_1,A_2)$ is non-zero. In particular, $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ admits $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ as a sub-representation and Sym^0k^2 as a quotient. Using the fact $\mathrm{Ext}^1_K(\mathrm{Sym}^0k^2,\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2)=0$ by [8, Cor.5.6], we see that the induced extension between $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-3}k^2\otimes\det$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ must be non-split. Therefore $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ is uniserial, with socle being $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$ and cosocle being Sym^0k^2.

We will now prove (16). If $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta,\mathrm{Sp})$ is non-zero then it is injective, as $\mathrm{soc}_K(\mathrm{Sp})=\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}k^2$. Hence f induces an injection $A_1\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Sp}/\mathrm{soc}_K(\mathrm{Sp})$, which contradicts Theorem 7.4(iii). If $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta,\pi_\alpha)$ is non-zero then f must factor through $A_1\hookrightarrow\pi_\alpha$, since $\mathrm{soc}_K(\pi_\alpha)\cong\mathrm{Sym}^{p-3}k^2\otimes\det$. This contradicts Theorem 7.4(ii).

(ii) Let $A_2 = \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2 \otimes \det$ and A_1 be the unique non-split extension $0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2 \otimes \det \to A_1 \to \mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2 \to 0$. The natural lattice $\mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}\mathcal{O}^2$ has a mod ϖ reduction of the form

$$0 \to A_1 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}k^2 \otimes \det \to A_2 \to 0.$$

So by the observation, we get another lattice Θ whose mod ϖ reduction fits into a non-split extension

$$0 \to A_2 \to \Theta/\varpi\Theta \to A_1 \to 0.$$

Using the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_K(\mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}k^2 \otimes \det)$ is of dimension 1 over k, see [8, Cor.5.6], one checks that Θ satisfies the desired properties. □

7.2. **Gorensteinness.** It is natural to ask if the ring R is Gorenstein or complete intersection. We first note that these properties often coincide in our situation.

Proposition 7.8. *Assume that R^ψ_ρ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$. For any p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ such that $R^\psi_\rho(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is non-zero, the ring $R^\psi_\rho(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is Gorenstein if and only if it is a complete intersection.*

Proof. It follows from a theorem of Serre which says that if A is a regular local ring and $J \subset A$ is an ideal of codimension 2, then A/J is Gorenstein if and only if A/J is a complete intersection, see [16, Cor.21.20]. □

Proposition 7.9. *Let B be the closure in the Banach space $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(N/xN, L)$ of the G-subrepresentation generated by the V-isotypic subspace. Assume that R is Cohen-Macaulay. Then R is Gorenstein if and only if*

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, \kappa(\rho)) = 1.$$

Proof. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay by assumption, (x,ϖ) is a regular sequence in R by Lemma 5.5. So R is Gorenstein if and only if $R/(x,\varpi)$ is Gorenstein, which holds if and only if

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{R^\psi_\rho}(k, R/(x,\varpi)) = 1.$$

It follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{a}_1$ that $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(N/xN, L)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(N/\mathfrak{a}_1 N, L)$ have the same V-isotypic subspace. Hence B coincides with B in Lemma 5.10. It follows from Lemma 5.10 and Corollary 3.13 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_G(B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, \kappa(\rho)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N \widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho} k, (B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^\vee) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N \widehat{\otimes}_{R^\psi_\rho} k, Q_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R^\psi_\rho}(k, R^\psi_\rho/(\mathfrak{a}_1, \varpi)). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It follows from Lemma 5.5(iii) that $R^\psi_\rho/(\mathfrak{a}_1,\varpi) = R/(x,\varpi)$. □

Remark 7.10. Although it looks pretty, the criterion in Proposition 7.9 seems to be rather hard to check in practice. For example, if we are in the setting of Theorem 5.13 then we know that R is Cohen–Macaulay and it follows from Proposition 5.12 that the representation $B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is isomorphic to $H_0(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$, thus we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(B^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, \kappa(\rho)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{X}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, \kappa(\rho)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}(\mathcal{X}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k, \kappa(\rho)).$$

Now $\mathcal{X}_0$ is just the lattice in the V-isotypic subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(N/xN, L)$ induced by the natural norm on this space. Determining this lattice explicitly when the multiplicity is large seems to be a very hard question.

If ρ is *generic* then N is projective in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$. In this case, we are able to give another criterion for R to be Gorenstein using information from $\mathcal{O}$-lattices in V. Before stating it, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7.11. *Assume N is projective in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$. Let $Q \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ be a quotient of N/xN. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,Q)$ is a cyclic R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module and the natural morphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N/xN,Q) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,Q)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since N is projective in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,*)$ to the natural composite map $r : N \twoheadrightarrow N/xN \twoheadrightarrow Q$ gives a surjection:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,Q) \to 0. \tag{19}$$

Since $R^{\psi}_{\rho} \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N)$, this implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N,Q)$ is a cyclic R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module. Using again (19), we can lift ι to $y \in R^{\psi}_{\rho} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N)$, and get the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{x} & N & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle y} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle y} & \searrow^{\iota} & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{x} & N & \xrightarrow{r} & Q & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

which is commutative as R^{ψ}_{ρ} is a commutative ring. Then we see that $\iota \circ x = 0$ since $r \circ x = 0$. □

Proposition 7.12. *Assume that ρ is generic and that there exist both a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice Θ in V and an $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-linear injective morphism $\theta : \Theta/\varpi\Theta \hookrightarrow (N/xN)^{\vee}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \kappa(\rho)) = 1$;
(ii) *if we let W be the G-subrepresentation generated by the image of θ, then $[W^{\mathrm{ss}} : \pi]$, the multiplicity of π in W^{ss}, is equal to e. Here recall that π is the k-representation of G defined in §3.1.1.*

Then R is Gorenstein.

Proof. Condition (i) ensures that $M(\Theta)$ is a free R-module of rank 1, hence $R/xR \cong M(\Theta)/xM(\Theta)$ as R-modules. Moreover, since R is Cohen-Macaulay, R is Gorenstein if and only if $R/(x,\varpi)$ is Gorenstein if and only if we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(R/(x,\varpi),k) \cong R/(x,\varpi)$$

of R-modules. Again the isomorphism $R \cong M(\Theta)$ implies that this is equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M(\Theta)/(x,\varpi),k)$ being a cyclic R-module. Since

$$M(\Theta)/(x,\varpi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/(x,\varpi), \Theta^d/\varpi\Theta^d), k\big)$$

we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(M(\Theta)/(x,\varpi),k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/(x,\varpi), (\Theta/\varpi\Theta)^{\vee}).$$

We claim that the following composite map is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N/(x,\varpi), W^{\vee}) &\to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/(x,\varpi), W^{\vee}) \\ &\to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/(x,\varpi), (\Theta/\varpi\Theta)^{\vee}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is the restriction from G-equivariant morphisms to K-equivariant morphisms. By definition of W, f is injective. On the other hand, let d_1 and d_2 be respectively the dimension over k of the first and last term in the above displayed formula. Then $d_2 = e$ by Theorem 3.3(iii). By Lemma 7.11, the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N/(x,\varpi), W^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, W^\vee)$$

is an isomorphism. Since N is a projective envelope of $\pi^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, we have $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N, W^\vee) = [W^{\mathrm{ss}} : \pi]$, so $d_1 = e$ by the assumption (ii). This proves the claim and the proposition follows from Lemma 7.11. □

Proposition 7.13. *Assume ρ is generic. Let $\mathbf{w} = (a,b)$ with $1 \leq b-a \leq 2p$ and $\tau = \mathrm{triv}$. Then R is Gorenstein whenever non-zero.*

Proof. We use the notations in §7.1. First note that if $e \leq 2$, then R is Gorenstein since the local ring $R/(\varpi, x)$ is of length 2 as a module over itself.

Since ρ is assumed to be generic, the only case when $e \geq 3$ is that $b-a = 2p$ and ρ is irreducible with the set of Serre weights $\{\mathrm{Sym}^1 k^2, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det\}$; moreover we have exactly $e = 3$. Let $\Theta = \mathrm{Sym}^{2p-1}\mathcal{O}^2$, so that $\Theta/\varpi\Theta$ is of length 3, isomorphic to the injective envelope of $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det$ in the category of finite dimensional k-representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We have shown, in the proof of Theorem 7.5, that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\Theta/\varpi\Theta, \pi)$ has dimension 1 over k and is spanned by the composite morphism

$$\Theta/\varpi\Theta \overset{\mathrm{pr}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2} k^2 \otimes \det \hookrightarrow \pi,$$

where pr is the natural projection in (15). We choose any K-equivariant *injection* $\theta : \Theta/\varpi\Theta \hookrightarrow (N/xN)^\vee$; it does exist since $(N/xN)^\vee$ is injective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. Let W be the sub-representation generated by $\mathrm{im}(\theta)$. We claim that W has length 3. Indeed, W has length > 1 by the above observation. If W has length 2, i.e.

$$0 \to \pi_1 \to W \to \pi_2 \to 0,$$

with $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2 \cong \pi$, then by the observation again we get

$$\mathrm{im}(\theta) \cap \pi_1 = \ker(\mathrm{pr}) = \mathrm{Ind}_I^K(\omega \otimes 1).$$

But this contradicts Theorem 7.4(i) since $\omega \otimes 1 \neq (\omega \otimes 1)^s$. This proves the claim and finishes the proof by Proposition 7.12. □

Proposition 7.14. *Let $\mathbf{w}$ and τ be arbitrary and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of R. If $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) \leq 2$ then $R \cong \mathcal{O}[[x,y]]/(y^e + a_{e-1}y^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0)$, where a_i lie in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$. In particular, R is a complete intersection ring.*

Proof. It follows from the construction of x in Theorems 3.3, 3.5 that its image in $\mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) \leq 2$ is non-zero. If $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$ then since x is $M(\Theta)$-regular, we deduce that $R \cong \mathcal{O}[[x]]$. Let us assume that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 2$ and let $y \in R$ be an element, such that the images of x and y build a k-basis of $\mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, \mathfrak{m}^2)$. Thus we have a surjection $\mathcal{O}[[x,y]] \twoheadrightarrow R$. Since $M(\Theta)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$-module of rank e, by fixing a basis we may represent an action of y on $M(\Theta)$ by a matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$. If $y^e + a_{e-1}y^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0$ is the characteristic polynomial of that matrix then, since R acts faithfully on $M(\Theta)$, we deduce that the surjection $\mathcal{O}[[x,y]] \twoheadrightarrow R$ factors through the surjection $C := \mathcal{O}[[x,y]]/(y^e + a_{e-1}y^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0) \twoheadrightarrow R$. Since y lies in $\mathfrak{m}$ it acts on $M(\Theta)/(\varpi, x)$ nilpotently. This implies that a_i are contained in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$. Now $C/(\varpi)$ is a complete intersection ring of dimension 1 with Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity equal to e. Since it cannot

have embedded prime ideals any proper 1-dimensional quotient of $C/(\varpi)$ will have a strictly smaller Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity. This implies that the surjection $C \twoheadrightarrow R$ induces an isomorphism modulo ϖ, and hence is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 7.15. *Assume that ρ is generic. Let $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ with $1 \leq b - a \leq 2p$ and $\tau = \mathrm{triv}$. If R is non-zero then $R \cong \mathcal{O}[[x, y]]/(y^e + a_{e-1}y^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0)$, where a_i lie in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[[x]]$.*

Proof. Proposition 7.13 implies that $R/(\varpi, x)$ is Gorenstein and $\dim_k R/(\varpi, x) = e$, which is 1, 2 or 3. In all the cases, $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, x, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$. This is obvious if $e = 1$ or $e = 2$. If $e = 3$ then $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, x, \mathfrak{m}^2) = 2$ would imply that $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is zero in $R/(\varpi, x)$ and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(k, R/(\varpi, x)) \cong \mathfrak{m}/(\varpi, x, \mathfrak{m}^2)$ is 2-dimensional, which contradicts Gorensteinness of $R/(\varpi, x)$. The assertion follows from Proposition 7.14. □

8. Global applications

Let F be a totally real field in which p splits completely, let Σ and S be finite sets of places of F containing all the places above p and all the archimedean places. Assume that $\Sigma \subset S$ and if $p = 2$ then $\Sigma \neq S$. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F. Let $G_{F,S}$ be the absolute Galois group of the maximal extension of F in $\overline{F}$ which is unramified outside S. Let $\overline{\rho} : G_{F,S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous irreducible representation, which we assume to be modular. We will combine the result of the local part together with the results of Jack Shotton [47], §B concerning the potentially semi-stable deformation rings at $\ell \neq p$ to prove that certain quotients of the universal deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}$ parameterizing deformations which are potentially semistable of a given inertial type at $v \in \Sigma$ and given Hodge–Tate weights at $v \mid p$ are $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. The strategy of the proof, given the local results, is well known, see for example [49, §5] or Remark after Lemma 4.6 in [26]. However, the result has not been formulated properly, since the Cohen–Macaulayness of local potentially semi-stable deformation rings was known only in a few cases. We will then explain that these quotients are reduced. This is a byproduct of Kisin's approach to Taylor–Wiles. This will allow us to upgrade Kisin's $R[1/p] = \mathbb{T}[1/p]$ theorem to an integral version $R = \mathbb{T}$.

8.1. **Presentations of global deformation rings.** Let $\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} : G_{F,S} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ be the global p-adic cyclotomic character. Let $\psi : G_{F,S} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ be a continuous character such that $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ is congruent to $\det \overline{\rho}$ modulo ϖ. If $p = 2$ then we additionally assume that ψ is totally even, which means that its restriction to G_{F_v} is trivial for all archimedean places v. If $p > 2$ then this follows from the assumption on modularity of $\overline{\rho}$.

For each place v of F we fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F}_v$ of F_v and an embedding $\overline{F} \hookrightarrow \overline{F}_v$, which extends the embedding $F \hookrightarrow F_v$. This induces a continuous homomorphism of Galois groups $G_{F_v} \hookrightarrow G_F \twoheadrightarrow G_{F,S}$. This allows us to consider $\overline{\rho}$ as a representation of G_{F_v}.

We fix a basis of the underlying k-vector space V of $\overline{\rho}$. For each $v \in S$ let $R_v^{\square}$ be the universal framed deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}_v := \overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ and let $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ be the quotient of $R_v^{\square}$ parameterizing deformations of determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$. We fix a subset Σ of the set of finite places in S, which contains all the places above p and all the infinite places. Moreover, if $p = 2$ then we assume that there is a finite place of F contained in S, but not contained in Σ. Let $R_\Sigma^{\square,\psi} := \widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma} R_v^{\square,\psi}$, where the completed tensor product is taken over $\mathcal{O}$.

We define $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ to be the quotient of the universal deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}$, parameterizing deformations with determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$. Denote by $R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$ the complete local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra representing the functor which assigns to an artinian, augmented $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A the set of isomorphism classes of tuples $\{V_A, \beta_w\}_{w\in\Sigma}$, where V_A is a deformation of $\overline{\rho}$ to A with determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ and β_w is a lift of a chosen basis of V_k to a basis of V_A. The map $\{V_A, \beta_w\}_{w\in\Sigma} \mapsto \{V_A, \beta_v\}$ induces a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras $R^{\square,\psi}_v \to R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$ for every $v \in \Sigma$ and hence a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras $R^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma} \to R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$.

Proposition 8.1. *For some non-negative integer r there is an isomorphism of $R^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$-algebras:*

$$R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \cong R^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]/(f_1, \dots, f_r),$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$.

Proof. This follows from [28, Prop.4.1.5] as we will now explain. Since all the infinite places of F lie in Σ the integer denoted by s in [28, Prop.4.1.5] is zero. Hence, we only need to verify that our assumptions imply that the map denoted by (†) in [28, Prop. 4.1.5] is injective. As explained in *loc. cit.* this holds if either there is a finite place of F contained in S and not contained in Σ, which we assumed to be the case if $p = 2$, or $H^0(G_F, (\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho})^*(1))$ is zero, where $\mathrm{ad}^0(\overline{\rho}) \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is the subspace of endomorphisms having trace zero on which G_F acts by conjugation.

Let us assume that $p > 2$ and show that this last condition is satisfied. Let $\mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho}$ be the representation of G_F obtained by letting G_F act on $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ by conjugation. We note that $\mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho} \cong \overline{\rho} \otimes (\overline{\rho})^*$ as G_F-representations, and hence $(\mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho})^* \cong \mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho}$. Since the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is absolutely irreducible by assumption, $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\rho})$ is one dimensional. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_F}(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\rho} \otimes \overline{\chi}) = 0$ for any non-trivial character $\overline{\chi} : G_F/G_{F(\zeta_p)} \to k^{\times}$. Since $p > 2$ the cyclotomic character is not trivial modulo ϖ and we deduce that $H^0(G_F, (\mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho})^*(1))$ is zero. Since $p > 2$, $\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{ad}\,\overline{\rho}$. Thus $H^0(G_F, (\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho})^*(1))$ is zero and so the map (†) in [28, Prop.4.1.5] is injective. □

Proposition 8.2. *For each $v \in \Sigma$ let $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v$ be an equidimensional, $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square,\psi}_v$ such that its dimension is 5 if $v \mid p$, 3 if $v \mid \infty$ and 4 otherwise. Assume that the deformation problem defined by $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v$ does not depend on the framing. Let*

$$\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma} := \widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma}\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v, \quad \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} := \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma} \otimes_{R^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}} R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S},$$

and let $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ be the image of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ under the natural map $R^{\psi}_{F,S} \to R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \to \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$, where the first arrow is obtained by forgetting the framing.

If $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module then its rank is at least 1 and every irreducible component of $\mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ contains a point of $\text{m-Spec}\,\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$. If we additionally assume that $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v$ is Cohen–Macaulay for all $v \in \Sigma$ then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free.

Proof. The ring $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$ parameterises all the framed deformations of $\overline{\rho}$ satisfying the local deformation conditions imposed at $v \in \Sigma$. Proposition 8.1 implies that

$$\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \cong \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]/(\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_r), \tag{20}$$

where $\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_r \in \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$ are the images of $f_1, \dots, f_r$ of Proposition 8.1 under the natural map. By forgetting the framing we obtain a map $R^{\psi}_{F,S} \to R^{\psi,\square}_{F,S}$, which induces an isomorphism $R^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \cong R^{\psi}_{F,S}[[y_1, \dots, y_{4|\Sigma|-1}]]$. Since the local deformation conditions at $v \in \Sigma$ do not interfere with framing we have:

$$\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \cong \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[[y_1, \dots, y_{4|\Sigma|-1}]]. \tag{21}$$

Since $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free for all $v \in \Sigma$ it follows from Lemma A.1 that the Krull dimension of $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ is equal to

$$1 + \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \dim \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}[1/p] = 1 + 4\sum_{v|p} 1 + 2\sum_{v|\infty} 1 + 3(|\Sigma| - \sum_{v|p} 1 - \sum_{v|\infty} 1) = 3|\Sigma| + 1,$$

where in the last equality we have used the assumption that F is totally real and p splits completely in F. It follows from (20) that $\dim \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S} \geq 4|\Sigma|$ and (21) implies that $\dim \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S} \geq 1$. Since $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module by assumption, we deduce that the rank of $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module is at least 1.

Choose elements $\overline{f}_{r+1}, \dots, \overline{f}_{r+4|\Sigma|-1} \in \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$ which map to elements $y_1, \dots, y_{4|\Sigma|-1}$ via the isomorphisms (20) and (21). Since

$$\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S} \cong \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]/(\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_{r+4|\Sigma|-1}) \tag{22}$$

and $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1, we deduce that $\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_{r+4|\Sigma|-1}, \varpi$ is a system of parameters in $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$. Since $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ are equidimensional for all $v \in \Sigma$, Lemma A.1 implies that $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ is equidimensional, and hence the same holds for the formal power series ring over $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$. Lemma 3.9 of [42] implies that every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$ contains a maximal ideal of $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$. Since every irreducible component of

$$\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]]$$

is of the form $V(\mathfrak{p}[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+|\Sigma|-1}]])$ for a minimal prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$, we deduce that every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ meets $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$.

If $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ is Cohen–Macaulay for each $v \in \Sigma$ then $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ is also Cohen–Macaulay. The proof of this claim, which we leave as an exercise for the reader, uses that the rings $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ are $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, which implies that the functor $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{v}$ is exact. Since a system of parameters in a Cohen–Macaulay ring is a regular sequence by [32, Theorem 17.4], it follows from (22) that ϖ is $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$-regular, and so $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. □

8.2. **Global potentially semi-stable deformation rings.** We will now specify the quotients $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ in Proposition 8.2.

If v is infinite then we let $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} = R_v^{\square,\psi}$. Let $c \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ be the complex conjugation. If $p > 2$ then the assumption that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular implies that $\det \overline{\rho}(c) = -1$, and hence $\psi(c) = 1$. Then $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \cong \mathcal{O}[[x,y,z]]/((1+x)^2+yz-1) \cong \mathcal{O}[[y,z]]$ and the universal framed deformation is given by sending c to the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+x & y \\ z & -1-x \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. If $p = 2$ then ψ is totally even by assumption and $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ is an integral domain of dimension 3, which is a complete intersection ring, and hence Cohen–Macaulay, see [29, Prop.2.5.6].

For each finite $v \in \Sigma$ we fix a semisimple representation $\tau_v : I_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, where I_v is the inertia subgroup of G_{F_v}.

If $v \nmid p$ we let $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ be the maximal reduced and p-torsion free quotient of $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, such that the semisimplification of the restriction of ρ to I_{F_v} is isomorphic to τ_v. If $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is non-zero then it is equidimensional of dimension 4 and the irreducible components of $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}[1/p]$ are formally smooth, [43, Thm. 4.1.1]. The ring $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is Cohen–Macaulay by [47, §5.5] in the case $p > 2$ and by Theorem B.1 proved by Jack Shotton in the appendix below in the case $p = 2$.

For each $v \mid p$ we additionally fix a pair of integers $\mathbf{w}_v = (a_v, b_v)$ with $a_v < b_v$. We let $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ be the maximal reduced and p-torsion free quotient of $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, which are potentially semistable of type $(\mathbf{w}_v, \tau_v, \psi)$. If $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is non-zero then $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is equidimensional of dimension 5 by [30, Theorem 3.3.4]. If $\mathrm{End}_{G_{F_v}}(\overline{\rho}) = k$ then $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is formally smooth of relative dimension 3 over $R^{\psi}_{\overline{\rho}_v}(\mathbf{w}_v, \tau_v)$. Thus if we are in the setting of Theorems 5.13, 6.5 then $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

Once we have specified the quotients $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ for all $v \in \Sigma$ we let $\overline{R}_{\Sigma}^{\square,\psi}$, $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\square,\psi}$ and $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ be as in Proposition 8.2. We will refer to $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ as a global potentially semi-stable deformation ring. We will show that $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module and $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}[1/p]$ is reduced.

8.3. **Odd primes.** In this subsection we assume that $p > 2$. We further assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is modular, the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is irreducible, if $p = 5$ then we further assume that the projective image of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is not isomorphic to A_5 and if $p = 3$ then we further assume $\overline{\rho}_v \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for any character $\chi : G_{F_v} \to k^{\times}$ and for any $v \mid p$.

Proposition 8.3. *The ring $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{w}_v = (0,1)$ for all $v \mid p$ then the assertion follows from Lemma 4.4.3 (3) of [20]. We will deduce the general case from this result and (a weak form of) geometric Breuil–Mézard conjecture proved in [14, 24, 40].

We fix a place $v \mid p$. Let $\theta_{v,1}, \theta_{v,2} : I_{F_v} \to L^{\times}$ be smooth characters such that $\theta_{v,1}\theta_{2,v} = \psi|_{I_{F_v}}$. We view $\theta_{v,1}$ and $\theta_{v,2}$ as characters of $\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^{\times} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ via the class field theory. Let c be the smallest integer such that $\theta_{v,1}\theta_{v,2}^{-1}$ is trivial on $1 + p^c\mathbb{Z}_p$.

We assume that $c \geq 2$. Let $J_c = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^c\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\theta_v : J_c \to L^\times$ be the character $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mapsto \theta_{v,1}(x)\theta_{v,2}(w)$. The representation $\sigma(\tau_v) := \mathrm{Ind}_{J_c}^K \theta_v$ has the following property: if π is an irreducible smooth $\overline{L}$-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ then $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $r|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau_v := \theta_{v,1} \oplus \theta_{v,2}$, where r is the Weil–Deligne representation corresponding to π via the classical local Langlands correspondence. The central character of $\sigma(\tau_v)$ is equal to $\theta_v|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$. Let us choose a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\tau_v)$ and let $\overline{\sigma(\tau_v)}$ be the semi-simplification of its reduction modulo ϖ. Since $c \geq 2$ it follows from [37, Lem.A.3] that every irreducible k-representation σ of K with central character congruent to $\theta_v|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ modulo ϖ occurs as a subquotient of $\overline{\sigma(\tau_v)}$. In particular, the Serre weights corresponding to $\overline{\rho}$ will also occur as subquotients of $\overline{\sigma(\tau_v)}$.

Let $\widetilde{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ be the maximal reduced, p-torsion free quotient of $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, which are potentially semistable of type $((0,1), \tau_v, \psi)$. It follows from the geometric Breuil–Mézard conjecture proved in [14, 24, 40] that $\widetilde{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \neq 0$ and for all potentially semi-stable deformation rings $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ as above the underlying topological space of $\mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}/(\varpi)$ is contained in the underlying topological space of $\mathrm{Spec}\, \widetilde{R}_v^{\square,\psi}/(\varpi)$. We define the ring $\widetilde{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ in the same way as the ring $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ with the same deformation conditions at $v \nmid p$, but setting $\mathbf{w}_v = (0,1)$ and $\tau_v = \theta_{v,1} \oplus \theta_{v,2}$ as above for every $v \mid p$.

If A is a commutative ring, B is an A-algebra and $\mathfrak{a}_1$, $\mathfrak{a}_2$ are ideals of A such that $V(\mathfrak{a}_1) \subset V(\mathfrak{a}_2)$ in $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$ then $V(\mathfrak{a}_1 B) \subset V(\mathfrak{a}_2 B)$ in $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$ as $V(\mathfrak{a}B)$ is the preimage of $V(\mathfrak{a})$ in $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$. Combining this observation with the local results explained above we obtain an inclusion of the underlying topological spaces $\mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}/(\varpi) \subset \mathrm{Spec}\, \widetilde{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}/(\varpi)$ in $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_{F,S}^{\psi}$. Since $\widetilde{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module by Lemma 4.4.3(3) of [20], where we take both subsets Σ and Σ' in *loc. cit.* to be empty, the underlying topological space of $\mathrm{Spec}\, \widetilde{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}/(\varpi)$ consists only of the maximal ideal of $R_{F,S}^{\psi}$. This implies that $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}/(\varpi)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space and Nakayama's lemma for compact $\mathcal{O}$-modules implies that $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module. □

Proposition 8.4. *The ring $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}[1/p]$ is reduced.*

Proof. We may assume that $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}[1/p]$ is non-zero. If $\mathbf{w}_v = (0,1)$ for all $v \mid p$ then the result follows from [20, Lem.4.4.3(3)]. We will explain how to modify the proof of *loc. cit.* so that the result holds in general.

We will first reduce the proof to the case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Let F' be a totally real quadratic extension of F linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker \overline{\rho}}(\zeta_p)$ over F such that p splits completely in F'. Let S' be the set of places of F' above S and let ψ' be the restriction of ψ to $G_{F'}$. The composition $G_{F'} \to G_F \twoheadrightarrow G_{F,S}$ factors through $G_{F',S'}$. Let N be the image of $G_{F',S'}$ in $G_{F,S}$. Then N is an open normal subgroup of $G_{F,S}$ of index 1 or 2. In particular, the index is not divisible by p. The inflation-restriction exact sequence implies that restriction induces an injection

$$H^1(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0\, \overline{\rho}) \hookrightarrow H^1(N, \mathrm{ad}^0\, \overline{\rho}).$$

Since the action of $G_{F',S'}$ on $\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}$ factors through the action of N we have

$$B^1(N,\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}) = B^1(G_{F',S'},\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}),\quad Z^1(N,\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}) \subset Z^1(G_{F',S'},\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}).$$

Hence, the group homomorphism $G_{F',S'} \to N$ induces an injection

$$H^1(N,\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{F',S'},\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}).$$

We conclude that the group homomorphism $G_{F',S'} \to G_{F,S}$ induces an injection

$$H^1(G_{F,S},\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{F',S'},\mathrm{ad}^0\,\overline{\rho}).$$

The restriction to $G_{F'}$ induces a homomorphism of local $\mathcal{O}$-algebras $R^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to R^{\psi}_{F,S}$. The injection on the H^1-groups above implies that the map induces a surjection on tangent spaces, and hence the map is surjective. For each $v \in \Sigma$ and each $w \mid v$ we let $\tau_w := \tau_v|_{I_{F'_w}}$. Moreover, for each $v \in \Sigma$ such that $v \mid p$ and each $w \mid v$ we let $\mathbf{w}_w = \mathbf{w}_v$. The surjection $R^{\psi'}_{F',S'} \twoheadrightarrow R^{\psi}_{F,S} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ factors through the map $\overline{R}^{\psi'}_{F',S'} \to \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$. We conclude that this map and hence the map $\overline{R}^{\psi'}_{F',S'}[1/p] \to \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$ are surjective. Since both rings are zero dimensional by Proposition 8.3, if $\overline{R}^{\psi'}_{F',S'}[1/p]$ is reduced, so is $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$. The degree $[F' : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even as F' is a quadratic extension of F.

Let us assume that $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even and let D be the quaternion algebra with centre F, which is split at all finite and is ramified at all infinite places. We fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_D$ of D, and an isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_D)_v \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ at each finite place v. Let $\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty}$ be the ring of finite adeles over F. Let $U = \prod_v U_v$ be a compact open subgroup of $(D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$ such that $U_v = (\mathcal{O}_D)_v^{\times}$ for all $v \in \Sigma$ and all $v \notin S$, except for one carefully chosen place $v_1 \notin S$ in [20, §4.3.2], where U_{v_1} is the subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}_D)_{v_1}^{\times} \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v_1}})$ consisting of elements which are upper triangular unipotent modulo v_1. If $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$ is a finite place then we choose U_v to be an open compact subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}_D)_v$, which is small enough in a sense to be made precise below.

If v is a finite place and $\tau_v : I_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ is a representation with an open kernel then we let $\sigma(\tau_v)$ be a smooth irreducible L-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ defined as in [47, §3.2] following [23]. If τ_v is scalar, so that $\tau_v \cong \theta_v \oplus \theta_v$, then we let $\sigma(\tau_v)$ be the inflation of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k_v)$ twisted by $\theta_v \circ \det$.

If $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$ is a finite place then there are only finitely many $\tau_v : I_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L})$ such that there is a lift $\rho : G_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L})$ of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ such that the semi-simplification of $\rho|_{I_{F_v}}$ is isomorphic to τ_v, [47, Lem.3.7, 3.8]. In this case we choose U_v to be an open subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}_D)_v^{\times}$, which is contained in the kernel of $\sigma(\tau_v)$ for each τ_v as above.

For every $v \mid p$ we let $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_v,\tau_v) = \mathrm{Sym}^{b_v-a_v-1}L^2 \otimes \det^{a_v} \otimes \sigma(\tau_v)$. We fix a U_v-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\mathcal{L}_v$ in $\sigma(\tau_v)$ if $v \nmid p$ and in $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_v,\tau_v)$ if $v \mid p$. Then $\mathcal{L} := \otimes_{v\in\Sigma}\mathcal{L}_v$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite rank with a continuous action of U, where each factor U_v acts trivially if $v \notin \Sigma$ and on the factor $\mathcal{L}_v$ if $v \in \Sigma$. The central character of $\mathcal{L}$ is equal to the restriction of ψ to $U \cap (\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$.

We let $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})$ be the set of continuous functions $f : D^{\times}\backslash(D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times} \to \mathcal{L}$ such that for $g \in (D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$ we have $f(gu) = u^{-1}f(g)$ for all $u \in U$ and $f(gz) = \psi^{-1}(z)f(g)$ for all $z \in (\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$. Then $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite rank. Let $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}[T_v,S_v]_{v\notin S, v\neq v_1}$ be a commutative polynomial ring in the indicated formal variables. Then $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})$ is naturally a $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$-module with T_v

acting as a Hecke operator corresponding to the double coset $U_v\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\varpi_v & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)U_v$ and S_v acting as a Hecke operator corresponding to the double coset $U_v\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\varpi_v & 0\\ 0 & \varpi_v\end{smallmatrix}\right)U_v$. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the image of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O}))$. It follows from [51, Lem.1.3(1)] that the action of $\mathbb{T}[1/p]$ on $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is semi-simple.

There is a continuous representation $\rho^{\mathrm{mod}} : G_{F,S}\to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\overline{L})$, such that for each $v\notin S$ we have $\operatorname{tr}\rho^{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)=T_v$ and $\det\rho^{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)=S_v\mathbf{N}v$, where $\mathbf{N}v$ denotes the number of elements in the residue field of v and Frob_v is the arithmetic Frobenius, see [51, §1] or [19, §5.2] for a nice exposition. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$ generated by ϖ and any elements, which reduce modulo ϖ to $T_v-\operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v), S_v\mathbf{N}v-\det\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ for all $v\notin S$. Let $M:=S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the localization of $S_\tau(U,\mathcal{O})$ at $\mathfrak{m}$. We will see in the next paragraph that M is non-zero. Hence the localization $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is non-zero and from ρ^{mod} we obtain a continuous representation $\rho^{\mathrm{mod}}_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F,S}\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ which reduces to $\overline{\rho}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$. The representations obtained by specializing $\rho^{\mathrm{mod}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ at the maximal ideals of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}[1/p]$ have determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ and satisfy the local conditions at $v\in\Sigma$ by the local-global compatibility of Langlands correspondence. Since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reduced and $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free we deduce that $\rho^{\mathrm{mod}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfies the local conditions at $v\in\Sigma$ and has determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$. The universal property of $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ gives us a natural map $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}\to\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This map is surjective since the images of T_v and $S_v\mathbf{N}v$ for $v\notin S$ will be equal to the image of the trace and the determinant, respectively, of $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$, where ρ^{univ} is the tautological deformation of $\overline{\rho}$ to $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$. Thus M is naturally an $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$-module.

A representation $\rho_x : G_{F,S}\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\kappa(x))$ corresponding to a maximal ideal $x\in \text{m-Spec}\,\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$ is modular by [31] and [24, Theorem 6.3], where the assumption $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}\not\sim\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\chi\omega & *\\ 0 & \chi\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for all $v\mid p$ is removed, if $p\geq 5$. It follows from Jacquet–Langlands and the compatibility of local and global Langlands correspondences that x lies in the support of M. In particular, M is non-zero. We use (22) to get a map $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}\to\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$, which makes M into an $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$-module. It follows from Propositions 8.2 and 8.3 that every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec}\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ meets the support of M. Moreover, the argument with purity in the proof of [20, Lemma 4.4.3] shows that every $x\in\text{m-Spec}\,\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[1/p]$ in the support of M lies in the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Spec}\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[1/p]$.

The patching argument carried out in [20, §4.4] gives a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay module M_∞ of $R_\infty:=\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}[[x_1,\dots,x_g]]$, an M_∞-regular sequence of elements $y_1,\dots,y_h\in R_\infty$ such that $\dim R_\infty=h+1$, a surjection of $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$-algebras

$$R_\infty/(y_1,\dots,y_h)\twoheadrightarrow\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}, \tag{23}$$

and an isomorphism of R_∞-modules $M_\infty/(y_1,\dots,y_h)M_\infty\cong M$. Every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec}R_\infty$ will contain a smooth point in the support of M, since we have already established this for $\operatorname{Spec}\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$ and the irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec}R_\infty$ are of the form $V(\mathfrak{p}[[x_1,\dots,x_g]])$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{\Sigma}$. Since R_∞ is reduced and $\dim M_\infty=h+1=\dim R_\infty$ we conclude that R_∞ acts faithfully on M_∞. It follows from Lemma 8.5 below applied with $A=R_\infty$, $M=M_\infty$, $x_1=p$, $x_i=y_{i-1}$ for $2\leq i\leq h+1$ that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$ is equal to $R_\infty/(y_1,\dots,y_h)[1/p]$, which is reduced. □

Lemma 8.5. *Let A be a local noetherian ring. Let M be a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay faithful A-module. Let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of A. Assume*

(1) *$(M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M)[1/x_1]$ is a semi-simple $A[1/x_1]$-module;*
(2) *the localization $A_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is regular, for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $A[1/x_1]$ in the support of $(M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M)[1/x_1]$.*

Then $A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)[1/x_1]$ is reduced and acts faithfully on $(M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M)[1/x_1]$.

Proof. For every $\mathfrak{n}$ in (2) $M_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay module over a regular ring $A_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Auslander–Buchsbaum implies that $M_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is free of finite rank over $A_{\mathfrak{n}}$. This yields that $(M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is finitely generated and free over $(A/(x_2, \dots, x_n))_{\mathfrak{n}}$. It follows from (1) that $(A/(x_2, \dots, x_n))_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is reduced. Since $A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)[1/x_1]$ is artinian, we have an injection

$$A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)[1/x_1] \hookrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{n}} A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

So $A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)[1/x_1]$ is reduced. Since M is a faithful A-module, the support of $(M/(x_2, \dots, x_d)M)[1/x_1]$ is equal to $\operatorname{Spec} A/(x_2, \dots, x_d)[1/x_1]$. Since the ring is reduced this implies that the action is faithful. □

Remark 8.6. In this subsection we have followed the notation of [20] and [31], so that R_∞ is by definition a formal power series ring over R_{loc}, which is a completed tensor product of local deformation rings. In particular, it is not clear a priori that (23) is an isomorphism. In the next subsection we will follow the notation of [29], [26] and [42], and R_∞ will denote the projective limit of the finite rings that we patch. In particular, the analog of (23), see (25), is an isomorphism.

Theorem 8.7. *In addition to the hypotheses made in the beginning of the subsection, assume that the following hold:*

(1) *$\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \neq 0$ for each $v \in \Sigma$;*
(2) *if $v \mid p$ then $\tau_v \cong \theta_{1,v} \oplus \theta_{2,v}$, for distinct characters $\theta_{1,v}, \theta_{2,v} : I_v \to L^\times$ with open kernel, which extend to W_{F_v};*
(3) *for each $v \mid p$ either $\operatorname{End}_{G_{F_v}}(\overline{\rho}) = k$ or $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, such that $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq 1, \omega^{\pm 1}$ and $\theta_{1,v}$ is not congruent modulo ϖ to any of the four characters $\delta_1\omega^{-a_v}$, $\delta_1\omega^{-b_v}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-a_v}$, $\delta_2\omega^{-b_v}$.*

Then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is reduced and is a finite free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1.

Proof. Theorems 5.13, 6.5 and [47, §5.5] show that the hypothesis of Proposition 8.2 are satisfied in this case and hence $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finite free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1. Hence $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ injects into $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/p]$, which is reduced by Proposition 8.4. □

8.4. **Even primes.** In this subsection we assume that $p = 2$, so that L is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. We will prove a similar result as in the case when p is odd by replacing the patching argument of [20] with [42], which is based on [29] and [26]. We assume throughout that $\overline{\rho} : G_{F,S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is modular and the image of $\overline{\rho}$ is non-solvable. We also assume that the character $\psi : G_{F,S} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$, which we fixed at the beginning of §8 is totally even, which means that its restriction to G_{F_v} is trivial for all archimedean places v. The argument is slightly different to the previous subsection: we will first prove the result, when the ramification of $\overline{\rho}$ is minimal by appealing to the results of [42], and then deduce the general case from

it. The following proposition will allow us to verify that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module after replacing F by a finite extension.

Proposition 8.8. *Let F' be a finite Galois extension of of F and let S' be the set of places of F' above S. Assume that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{F'}}(\overline{\rho}) = k$. Then the natural map $R^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to R^{\psi}_{F,S}$, induced by restricting deformations of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F'}$, makes $R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ into a finitely generated $R^{\psi}_{F',S'}$-module.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}'$ be the maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{F',S'}$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 of [27] that it is enough to show that the image of $G_{F,S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R^{\psi}_{F,S}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R^{\psi}_{F,S}/\mathfrak{m}' R^{\psi}_{F,S})$ is finite. Since $G_{F',S'}$ is of finite index in $G_{F,S}$ and it gets mapped to the finite subgroup $\overline{\rho}(G_{F',S'})$, we are done. □

Lemma 8.9. *Let v be a finite place not dividing 2. Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified. Let $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v$ (resp. $R^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}_v$) be the maximal reduced and 2-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square,\psi}_v$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, such that $\rho|_{I_{F_v}} \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ (resp. $\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$). Let $V(\mathfrak{p})$ be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v$. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v/\mathfrak{p} = R^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}_v$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ of relative dimension 3;*

(ii) *the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are equal and $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v/\mathfrak{p} = R^{\square,\psi}_v(\gamma)$ is of relative dimension 3 over $\mathcal{O}$, where $\gamma : G_{F_v} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ is an unramified character such that $\gamma^2 = \psi$ and $R^{\square,\psi}_v(\gamma)$ is the maximal reduced and 2-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square,\psi}_v$ all of whose $\overline{L}$-points give rise to representations ρ of G_{F_v}, such that $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} & * \\ 0 & \gamma \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.*

Moreover, if the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are distinct then $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v = R^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}_v$.

Proof. Let $x \in \text{m-Spec}\, \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v[1/2]$ and let $\rho : G_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\kappa(x))$ be the corresponding Galois representation. If the image of I_{F_v} is trivial then x lies in $\mathrm{Spec}\, R^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}_v$. Assume that the image of I_{F_v} is non-trivial. Since $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified at v, the action of G_{F_v} on any deformation of $\overline{\rho}$ factors through the quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is identified with the maximal pro-2 quotient of the tame inertia, and if we further quotient out by it, then $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is identified with the absolute Galois group of the residue field of F_v. If we let σ be a topological generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and ϕ be the topological generator of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ which maps to Frob_v in G_{F_v}/I_{F_v}, then $\phi\sigma\phi^{-1} = \sigma^{q_v}$, where q_v is the number of elements in the residue field of F_v. Since τ_v is trivial and ρ is ramified after conjugation we may assume that $\rho(\sigma) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The relation $\rho(\phi)\rho(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma)^{q_v}\rho(\phi)$ can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q_v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

and is equivalent to $c = 0$ and $a = q_v d$. Thus $\rho \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} & * \\ 0 & \gamma \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where γ is an unramified character with $\gamma(\mathrm{Frob}_v) = d$. Since $\det\rho = \psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ we conclude that $\gamma^2 = \psi$. Hence, x lies in $\mathrm{Spec}\, R^{\square,\psi}_v(\gamma)$.

The characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ is congruent modulo ϖ to the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\phi)$, which is equal to $x^2 - d(1+q_v)x + d^2 q_v$. Since q_v is odd we deduce that $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ has equal eigenvalues. Hence if the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are distinct we conclude that $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v = R^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}_v$.

It is shown in [29, Prop.2.5.3] that $R_v^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ of relative dimension 3. Hence, if the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are distinct then $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ and we are in part (i) of the Lemma. Let us assume that the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are the same. Since χ_{cyc} is trivial modulo 2, it follows from [29, Prop.2.5.2] that $R_v^{\square,\psi}(\gamma)$ is an integral domain of relative dimension 3 over $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{ur}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\gamma$ be the kernels of $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \twoheadrightarrow R_v^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}$ and $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \twoheadrightarrow R_v^{\square,\psi}(\gamma)$, respectively. There are exactly two unramified characters γ such that $\gamma^2 = \psi$, which we denote by γ_1 and γ_2. We have shown that m-Spec $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}[1/2]$ is contained in the union of $V(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{ur}})$, $V(\mathfrak{p}_{\gamma_1})$ and $V(\mathfrak{p}_{\gamma_2})$. Since $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free and $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}[1/2]$ is Jacobson, we deduce that $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ is equidimensional of relative dimension 3 over $\mathcal{O}$, and either (i) or (ii) of the lemma hold. □

Let Σ be a subset of S containing all the places of F above 2 and all infinite places.

Proposition 8.10. *Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified outside the places dividing 2 and τ_v is trivial for all $v \in \Sigma$, such that $v \nmid 2\infty$, and for all $v \mid 2$ we have $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for any character $\chi : G_{F_v} \to k^\times$. Assume that the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are distinct for all $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$. Let*

$$\overline{R}_S^{\square,\psi} := (\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma}\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in S\setminus\Sigma}R_v^{\square,\psi}).$$

Then every irreducible component of Spec $\overline{R}_S^{\square,\psi}$ *meets* m-Spec $\overline{R}_{F,S}^{\psi}[1/2]$.

Proof. Since $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ and $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ are equidimensional for all $v \in S$, either by Lemma 8.9, [29, Prop.2.5.6, 2.5.4] or [30, Thm.3.3.4], Lemma A.1 implies that $\overline{R}_S^{\square,\psi}$ and $R_{S\setminus\Sigma}^{\square,\psi} := \widehat{\otimes}_{v\in S\setminus\Sigma}R_v^{\square,\psi}$ are equidimensional. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a minimal prime of $\overline{R}_S^{\square,\psi}$ and for each $v \in \Sigma$ let $\mathfrak{p}_v$ be the kernel of the natural map $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \to \overline{R}_S^{\square,\psi}/\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is also a minimal prime of $(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma}\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}/\mathfrak{p}_v)\widehat{\otimes}R_{S\setminus\Sigma}^{\square,\psi}$. Lemma A.1 implies that for all $v \in \Sigma$, $\mathfrak{p}_v$ is a minimal prime of $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}/\mathfrak{p}_v$. Let Σ_1 (resp. Σ_2) be the subset of Σ consisting of finite places not above 2 such that part (i) (resp. part (ii)) of Lemma 8.9 applied with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_v$ holds. Then it is enough to prove that every irreducible component of the ring

$$(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma_1}R_v^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma_2}R_v^{\square,\psi}(\gamma_v))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v|2\infty}\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}R_{S\setminus\Sigma}^{\square,\psi}$$

contains a point of m-Spec $\overline{R}_{S,F}^{\psi}[1/2]$. Since $R_v^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$, it is enough to prove that every irreducible component of

$$(\widehat{\otimes}_{v\in\Sigma_2}R_v^{\square,\psi}(\gamma_v))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v|2\infty}\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}R_{S\setminus\Sigma}^{\square,\psi} \tag{24}$$

contains a point of m-Spec $\overline{R}_{S\setminus\Sigma_1,F}^{\psi}[1/2]$. So without loss of generality we may assume that $\Sigma_1 = \emptyset$.

We note that the same argument allows us to enlarge S. If we can prove that every irreducible component of Spec $\overline{R}_{S\cup\{v_1\}}^{\square,\psi}$ meets m-Spec $\overline{R}_{F,S\cup\{v_1\}}^{\psi}[1/2]$, then since Spec $R_{v_1}^{\square,\psi,\mathrm{ur}}$ is an irreducible component of Spec $R_{v_1}^{\square,\psi}$ by [29, Prop.2.5.4], the claim for $S \cup \{v_1\}$ implies the claim for S. Thus we may assume that $S \setminus \Sigma_2$ contains a finite place not dividing 2. It follows from Proposition 8.2 applied with

$\Sigma = \Sigma_2 \cup \{v \mid 2\infty\}$ and $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} = R_v^{\square,\psi}(\gamma_v)$ for $v \in \Sigma_2$ that it is enough to prove that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module.

Let F' be a finite totally real solvable extension of F in which 2 splits completely, let Σ_2' and S' be the set of places of F' above the places of Σ_2 and S respectively. For each $w \in \Sigma_2'$ let $\overline{R}_w^{\square,\psi} = R_w^{\square,\psi}(\gamma_w)$, where γ_w is the restriction of γ_v to $G_{F'_w}$, where $v \in \Sigma_2$ such that $w \mid v$. Since the image of $\overline{\rho}$ is non-solvable, the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F'}$ remains irreducible. The composition $R^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to R^{\psi}_{F,S} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ factors through $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$. It follows from Proposition 8.8 that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'}$-module. If we can show that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module, then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is also a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module.

By standard base change and level raising arguments, as used in the proof of [42, Thm.3.30], we can get ourselves in the setup of [42, §3B]: we may find F' as above such that both $[F' : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $|\Sigma_2'|$ are even, and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F'}}$ is congruent to a Galois representation associated to a Hilbert eigenform g over F' of parallel weight 2, which is special of conductor 1 at $v \in \Sigma_2$ and is unramified otherwise. Let D be the quaternion algebra with centre F' ramified precisely at Σ_2' and all the infinite places. Then g corresponds to an automorphic form on D by the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. It is explained at the end of the proof of [42, Thm.3.30] that this implies that the hypothesis $S_{\sigma,\psi}(U,\mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ made in [42, Cor.3.27] is satisfied. Then the ring in (24) (with F, Σ_2 and S replaced by F', Σ_2' and S' respectively) is the quotient of the ring denoted by $R_S^{\psi,\square}(\sigma)$ in [42] (with S replaced by S'). Corollary 3.27 of [42] and part (d) of [42, Prop.3.17] imply that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module. □

Proposition 8.11. *Assume that $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified outside the places dividing 2 and for all $v \mid 2$ we have $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for any character $\chi : G_{F_v} \to k^{\times}$. Assume that the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are distinct for all $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is congruent to a Galois representation associated to a Hilbert eigenform f over F, which is unramified outside S and either special of conductor 1 or unramified principal series at $v \in \Sigma$, $v \nmid 2\infty$.*

Let $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ be defined as in §8.2 with τ_v trivial for all $v \in \Sigma$, such that $v \nmid 2\infty$. If $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \neq 0$ for all $v \in \Sigma$ then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module and $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced.

Proof. We will deduce the statement by modifying the patching argument in [42]. We need to carry out the modification, because in [42] at places $v \nmid 2\infty$ we did not work with $\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi}$ but with certain quotients of it, corresponding to either unramified or genuinely semi-stable components, see [42, §3B1]. This ensured that the patched module had the same constant multiplicity on all the irreducible components, which did not depend on the p-adic Hodge type at place above p, see [42, Lem.3.10]. It would have been better to avoid this problem by using types at $v \nmid 2\infty$, as is done in [20]. For the proof of the Proposition the question of multiplicities is irrelevant once we use the results of [42] as an input.

Let D be the quaternion algebra with the centre F ramified at all infinite places and unramified at all finite places. If S' is a set of places of F containing S, then the natural map $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S'} \to \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is surjective, and so if $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S'}$ is a finitely generated

$\mathcal{O}$-module such that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S'}[1/2]$ is reduced then the same holds for $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$. Hence, we may assume that there is $v_1 \in S \setminus \Sigma$ such that $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_{v_1})$ has distinct eigenvalues and v_1 satisfies the conditions of [42, Lem.3.2].

We fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_D$ in D and identify $D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty}$ with $M_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})$ so that $(\mathcal{O}_D)_v$ gets identified with $M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ for all finite v. Let $U = \prod_v U_v$ be a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})$ such that $U_v = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) : g \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right) \pmod{\varpi_v}\}$ for all $v \in \Sigma \setminus \{w \mid 2\infty\}$, $U_v = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) : g \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \pmod{\varpi_v}\}$ for all $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$, and $U_v = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ if $v \notin S$ or $v \mid 2$.

For every $v \mid 2$ we let $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_v, \tau_v) = \mathrm{Sym}^{b_v - a_v - 1} L^2 \otimes \det^{a_v} \otimes \sigma(\tau_v)$ and fix a U_v-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\mathcal{L}_v$ in $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_v, \tau_v)$. Then $\mathcal{L} := \otimes_{v|2} \mathcal{L}_v$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite rank with a continuous action of U, which we denote by σ, where each factor U_v acts trivially if $v \nmid 2$ and naturally on the factor $\mathcal{L}_v$ if $v \mid 2$. The central character of σ is equal to the restriction of ψ to $U \cap (\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$. Let $S_{\sigma,\psi}(U, \mathcal{O})$ denote the set of continuous functions

$$f : D^{\times} \backslash (D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times} \to \mathcal{L}$$

such that for $g \in (D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$ we have $f(gu) = \sigma(u)^{-1} f(g)$ for $u \in U$ and $f(gz) = \psi^{-1}(z) f(g)$ for $z \in (\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times}$. As in the proof of Proposition 8.4 the polynomial ring $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}[T_v, S_v]_{v \notin S}$ acts on $S_{\sigma,\psi}(U, \mathcal{O})$ by Hecke operators and we let $\mathbb{T}$ be the image of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\sigma,\psi}(U, \mathcal{O}))$. It follows from [51, Lemma 1.3 (1)] that the action of $\mathbb{T}[1/2]$ on $S_{\sigma,\psi}(U, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is semi-simple.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$ corresponding to $\overline{\rho}$. Since D is split at all finite places there is an automorphic form on D corresponding to f via the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. The assumption that $\overline{\rho}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ has distinct eigenvalues at all $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$ implies that f is tamely ramified principal series at $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$. Hence, f gives rise to an eigenvector for $\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{univ}}_{S,\mathcal{O}}$ in $S_{\sigma',\psi'}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}[1/2]$, for some σ' and ψ'. This implies that $S_{\sigma',\psi'}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and hence $M := S_{\sigma,\psi}(U, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, are non-zero, see Lemma 3.29 and the fourth paragraph in the proof of Theorem 3.30 in [42]. As in the proof of Proposition 8.4 we have a surjection $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which makes M into an $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$-module.

Now we will redo the patching argument carried out in [42]. Note that we are almost in the setting of [42, §3B], except there D was ramified at all $v \in \Sigma$, $v \nmid 2\infty$ and $U_v = (\mathcal{O}_D)_v^{\times}$ for all such v, so that the ring denoted by $R^{\psi}_{F,S}(\sigma)$ is the quotient of our ring $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ corresponding to components described in (ii) of Lemma 8.9. The patching argument is based on [26], [29], the details are sketched in the proof of [42, Prop.3.22]. The output is three complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebras R'_{∞}, $R^{\mathrm{inv}}_{\infty}$, R_{∞} satisfying properties (P1)–(P5) of [42, §3C], where in (P1) one has to replace the ring denoted by $R^{\psi,\square}_S(\sigma)$ in [42] with $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_S$ defined in Proposition 8.10, a finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay R_{∞}-module M_{∞} and elements $y_1, \dots, y_{h+j}$ in the maximal ideal of R_{∞}, such that $\varpi, y_1, \dots, y_{h+j}$ is a regular sequence for M_{∞},

$$R_{\infty}/(y_1, \dots, y_{h+j}) \cong \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}, \quad M_{\infty}/(y_1, \dots, y_{h+j}) M_{\infty} \cong M, \tag{25}$$

and the action of $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ on M given by these isomorphisms coincides with the action defined above.

If we can show that R_{∞} acts faithfully on M_{∞}, then $\varpi, y_1, \dots, y_{h+j}$ is a system of parameters for R_{∞} and the above isomorphism implies that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module. Moreover, if we additionally can show that the support of

$M[1/2]$ lies in the regular locus of $\operatorname{Spec} R_\infty[1/2]$ then Lemma 8.5 implies that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced.

This is proved by repeating the arguments of [42] with $R^{\psi,\square}_S(\sigma)$, $R'_\infty(\sigma)$, $R^{\mathrm{inv}}_\infty(\sigma)$, $R_\infty(\sigma)$ and $M_\infty(\sigma)$ replaced with $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_S$, R'_∞, R^{inv}_∞, R_∞ and M_∞, respectively: the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 to 3.8 in [42] go through unchanged. This last lemma says that if $\mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal ideal of $R_\infty[1/2]$ in the support of M then the localization is a regular ring. Proposition 8.10 implies that every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_S[[x_1,\dots,x_g]]$ meets $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ via the map:

$$\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_S[[x_1,\dots,x_g]] \twoheadrightarrow R'_\infty \twoheadrightarrow R_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S},$$

where the first two arrows are given by patching in (P1) and the last is (25). This means that the assumption analogous to the assumption of [42, Lem.3.14] is satisfied, and since the proof of parts (i) to (iv) of that Lemma use only Lemmas 3.3 to 3.8 of [42], the conclusion continues to hold in our setting. In particular, R_∞ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, reduced, equidimensional and the support of M_∞ meets every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Spec} R_\infty$. Since the support of M_∞ is a union of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec} R_\infty$ by [42, Lem.3.5], we conclude that R_∞ acts faithfully on M_∞. □

Lemma 8.12. *Let F' be a finite Galois extension of F and let S' be the set of places of F' above the places in S. Assume that $\operatorname{End}_{G_{F',S'}}(\overline{\rho}) = k$, let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ and let $\mathfrak{n}'$ be the image of $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\psi}_{F',S'}$. Then the ring $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}') \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is zero dimensional and reduced.*

Proof. Proposition 8.8 implies that $\mathfrak{n}'$ is a maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{F',S'}[1/2]$ and the ring $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}') \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is zero dimensional. Let $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the Galois representation corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. The $\mathfrak{n}$-adic completion of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$, which we denote by A, can be identified with the universal deformation ring of $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}$ to local artinian $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-algebras with determinant $\psi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$. The quotient $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}') \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} A$ parameterizes deformations of $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}$, which become trivial deformations after restriction to $G_{F',S'}$. The tangent space of this closed subfunctor is the $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-vector space dual of the kernel of the natural map

$$H^1(G_{F,S}, \operatorname{ad}^0 \rho_{\mathfrak{n}}) \to H^1(G_{F',S'}, \operatorname{ad}^0 \rho_{\mathfrak{n}}),$$

which can be calculated as $H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(F'/F), (\operatorname{ad}^0 \rho_{\mathfrak{n}})^{G_{F',S'}})$, see the proof of Proposition 8.4. This group vanishes as $\operatorname{Gal}(F'/F)$ is a finite group and we work over a field of characteristic 0. Hence, $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}') \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} A = \kappa(\mathfrak{n})$, and the same holds for any maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ above $\mathfrak{n}'$. Hence, the localization of $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}') \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} R^{\psi}_{F,S}$ at any maximal ideal is a field, and so the algebra is reduced. □

Proposition 8.13. *Assume that for all $v \mid 2$ we have $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} \not\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for any character $\chi : G_{F_v} \to k^\times$. Assume that $\Sigma \neq S$. If $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_v \neq 0$ for all $v \in \Sigma$ then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1 and $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 of [52] we may find a finite totally real soluble Galois extension F' of F of even degree such that 2 splits completely in F' and $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F'}}$ is unramified outside 2. After enlarging F' again we may assume that if $v \in S$, $v \nmid 2\infty$

and $w \mid v$ then the image of $I_{F'_w}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(R_v^{\square,\psi})$ is either trivial or is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. This implies that if f is a Hilbert eigenform over F, such that $\overline{\rho} \cong \rho_f \mod \varpi$ then the base change of f to F' will be unramified outside S', the set of places of F' above S, and either unramified or special of conductor 1 at $v \in S'$, $v \nmid 2\infty$. Let $\overline{R}_w^{\square,\psi}$ be the quotient of $R_w^{\square,\psi}$ corresponding to the trivial inertial type. Since $R_v^{\square,\psi}$ is reduced and $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free by [48, Thm.2.5] or [22, Cor.8.3], the natural map $R_w^{\square,\psi} \to R_v^{\square,\psi}$, induced by restriction of deformations to $G_{F'_w}$, factors through $\overline{R}_w^{\square,\psi} \to R_v^{\square,\psi}$. This implies that if we let $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'}$ be the ring defined in §8.2 by taking $\Sigma = S'$ and τ_w trivial for all finite $w \in S'$ not dividing 2 then the natural map $R^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to R^{\psi}_{F,S} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ factors through $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'} \to \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$. Propositions 8.8 and 8.11 imply that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module. Proposition 8.2 implies that its rank is at least 1.

Let A be the image of $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F',S'}[1/2]$ in $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$. It follows from Proposition 8.11 that A is a product of fields. Thus it is enough to show that $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_A \overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{n}$ of A. This algebra is a quotient of $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{F',S'}} R^{\psi}_{F,S}$, which is a product of fields by Lemma 8.12. Hence, $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced. □

Remark 8.14. If $\Sigma = S$ then we can still conclude that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module and $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$ is reduced, but we cannot rule out that $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2] = 0$.

Theorem 8.15. *In addition to the hypotheses made in the beginning of the subsection, assume that $\Sigma \neq S$ and that the following hold:*

1. *$\overline{R}_v^{\square,\psi} \neq 0$ for each $v \in \Sigma$;*
2. *if $v \mid 2$ then $\tau_v \cong \theta_{1,v} \oplus \theta_{2,v}$, for distinct characters $\theta_{1,v}, \theta_{2,v} : I_v \to L^\times$ with open kernel, which extend to W_{F_v};*
3. *for each $v \mid 2$, $\mathrm{End}_{G_{F_v}}(\overline{\rho}) = k$.*

Then $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ is reduced and is a finite free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1.

Proof. Theorem 5.13, Theorem B.1, proved by Jack Shotton in the appendix below, and Proposition 8.13 show that the hypothesis of Proposition 8.2 are satisfied in this case and hence $\overline{R}^{\square,\psi}_{F,S}$ is a finite free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank at least 1. Hence $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}$ injects into $\overline{R}^{\psi}_{F,S}[1/2]$, which is reduced by Proposition 8.13. □

Appendix A. Equidimensionality of completed tensor products

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ be the category of local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebras with residue field k which are $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. If $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ then the completed tensor product $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ also lies in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$. The purpose of the appendix is to prove the following result, the proof of which we were not able to find in the literature.

Lemma A.1. *If A and B in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ are both equidimensional then $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is equidimensional of dimension* $\dim A + \dim B - 1$.

Recall that a ring of finite Krull dimension is called *equidimensional* if $\dim A = \dim A/\mathfrak{p}$ for all minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of A. Recall that $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is called *geometrically integral* if the algebra $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is an integral domain for all finite extensions L'/L, where $\mathcal{O}'$ denotes the ring of integers in L'. We note that even if both A and B are

integral domains the completed tensor product need not be an integral domain. For example, if $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}_p$, $A=B=\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2-p)$ then $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B\cong\mathbb{Z}_p[x,y]/(x^2-p,y^2-p)$ and $(x-y)(x+y)=0$ in $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B$. However, if both A and B are geometrically integral then $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B$ is also geometrically integral by [2, Lemma 3.3 (3)]. Moreover, Lemma A.1 holds if $A/\mathfrak{p}$ and $B/\mathfrak{q}$ are geometrically integral for all the minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of A and all the minimal primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of B by [2, Lemma 3.3 (2), (5)]. We will prove the lemma by reducing to this case.

Lemma A.2. *If $A\in\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ then the minimal primes of $A':=A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'$ are precisely the prime ideals lying above the minimal primes of A. Moreover, A is equidimensional if and only if A' is equidimensional.*

Proof. The algebra A' is finite and flat over A. In particular, $\dim A'=\dim A$ and $\dim A/\mathfrak{p}=\dim A'/\mathfrak{P}$ for all primes $\mathfrak{P}$ of A' with $\mathfrak{p}=A\cap\mathfrak{P}$.

If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime of A then it follows from [32, Theorem 9.3 (i), (ii)] that there is a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of A' lying above $\mathfrak{p}$ and any such $\mathfrak{P}$ is minimal. If A' is equidimensional then $\dim A/\mathfrak{p}=\dim A'/\mathfrak{P}=\dim A'=\dim A$, and thus A is equidimensional.

If $\mathfrak{P}$ is a minimal prime of A' then Going down theorem for flat extensions implies that $\mathfrak{p}:=\mathfrak{P}\cap A$ is a minimal prime of A. If A is equidimensional then $\dim A'=\dim A=\dim A/\mathfrak{p}=\dim A'/\mathfrak{P}$ and thus A' is equidimensional. □

Lemma A.3. *If $A\in\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is an integral domain then there is a number $n(A)$ such that for all finite extensions L'/L, $A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'$ has at most $n(A)$ minimal primes.*

Proof. Since A is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, by Cohen's structure theorem for complete local rings there is a subring $B\subset A$ such that A is finite over B and $B\cong\mathcal{O}[[x_1,\dots,x_d]]$, see [32, Theorem 29.4 (iii)] and the Remark following it. Let $A'=A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'$ and let $B'=B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'$. Then $B'\subset A'$, A' is finite over B' and $B'\cong\mathcal{O}'[[x_1,\dots,x_d]]$. In particular, B' is an integral domain. If $\mathfrak{P}$ is a minimal prime of A' then $\mathfrak{P}\cap A$ is a minimal prime of A by Lemma A.2 and hence $\mathfrak{P}\cap A=0$, as A is an integral domain. Thus $\mathfrak{P}\cap B=0$. Since $(\mathfrak{P}\cap B')\cap B=0$ and B' is an integral domain, Lemma A.2 implies that $\mathfrak{P}\cap B'=0$. Hence $K(B')\otimes_{B'}A'/\mathfrak{P}$ is non-zero, where $K(B')$ denotes the fraction field of B'.

Let $\mathfrak{P}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{P}_n$ be the minimal primes of A'. Since every minimal prime ideal is also an associated prime by [32, Theorem 6.5 (iii)] for each i there is an injection of A'-modules $A'/\mathfrak{P}_i\hookrightarrow A'$. The kernel K of the map $\oplus_{i=1}^n A'/\mathfrak{P}_i\to A'$ is not supported on any $\mathfrak{P}_i$. Hence, $\dim K<\dim B'$ and so $K(B')\otimes_{B'}K=0$. Hence the map $\oplus_{i=1}^n K(B')\otimes_{B'}A'/\mathfrak{P}_i\to K(B')\otimes_{B'}A'$ is injective and we conclude that A' can have at most $\dim_{K(B')}K(B')\otimes_{B'}A'$ minimal ideals. The dimension of $K(B')\otimes_{B'}A'$ as $K(B')$-vector space can be bounded by the number of generators of A' as a B'-module, which can be bounded by the number of generators of A as a B-module. This number does not depend on the extension L'/L. □

If $A\in\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is a domain with fraction field $K(A)$ then we have injections:

$$A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'\hookrightarrow K(A)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'\cong K(A)\otimes_L L'.$$

Since L'/L is a finite separable extension $K(A)\otimes_L L'$ is a finite product of fields and the map induces a bijection between the minimal primes of $A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}'$ and the minimal primes of $K(A)\otimes_L L'$. In particular, we obtain:

Lemma A.4. *If $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is an integral domain such that $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ has only one minimal prime then $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is an integral domain.*

Lemma A.5. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and let L' be a finite extension of L with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}'$ such that the number of minimal primes of $A' := A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is maximal. Then $A'/\mathfrak{P}$ is geometrically integral for all minimal primes $\mathfrak{P}$ of A'.*

Proof. Since A is noetherian, it has only finitely many minimal primes. The minimal primes of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ are precisely the primes lying over the minimal primes of A. It follows from Lemma A.3 that the set of numbers of minimal primes of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ as L' ranges over all finite extensions of L is bounded from above. Thus we may pick an extension L' such that this number is maximal. If $\mathfrak{P}$ is a minimal prime of A' and L'' is a finite extension of L' with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}''$ such that $(A'/\mathfrak{P}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} \mathcal{O}''$ has more than one minimal prime then we would conclude that $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}''$ has strictly more minimal primes than $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ contradicting the choice of L'. Hence, $(A'/\mathfrak{P}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} \mathcal{O}''$ has only one minimal prime and Lemma A.4 implies that it is an integral domain. □

Proof of Lemma A.1. Let L' be a finite extension of L with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}'$ such that $A'/\mathfrak{P}$ and $B'/\mathfrak{Q}$ are geometrically integral for all the minimal primes $\mathfrak{P}$ of A' and all the minimal primes $\mathfrak{Q}$ of B', where $A' := A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ and $B' := B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$. The existence of such extension is granted by Lemma A.5. Since A' and B' are equidimensional by Lemma A.2, it follows from [2, Lemma 3.3 (2), (5)] that $A' \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}'} B'$ is equidimensional. Since

$$(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}' \cong A' \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}'} B'$$

we use Lemma A.2 again to conclude that $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is equidimensional.

Since B is $\mathcal{O}$-flat, $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is a flat A-algebra, thus

$$\dim A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B = \dim A + \dim k \otimes_A (A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$$

by [32, Thm.15.1]. The fibre ring $k \otimes_A (A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$ is isomorphic to $B/\varpi B$, which has dimension equal to $\dim B - 1$, as ϖ is B-regular. □

Appendix B. Local deformation rings for 2-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$, $l \neq 2$. By Jack Shotton.

Let l and p be distinct primes. Let $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, uniformiser ϖ and residue field k. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_l$ be a finite extension with absolute Galois group G_F, inertia group I_F, and wild inertia group P_F. Let $\tilde{P}_F$ be the kernel of the maximal pro-l quotient of I_F. Let q be the order of the residue field of F. We assume that L contains all $(q^2-1)th$ roots of unity. Choose a pro-generator σ of $I_F/\tilde{P}_F$ and $\phi \in G_F/\tilde{P}_F$ lifting the arithmetic Frobenius element of G_F/I_F. Then we have the relation

$$\phi\sigma\phi^{-1} = \sigma^q. \tag{26}$$

If $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is a continuous homomorphism, let $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}$ be the universal framed deformation ring for $\overline{\rho}$ parametrising lifts with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$-algebras. By [48] Theorem 2.5, $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}$ is a reduced, $\mathcal{O}$-flat complete intersection ring of relative dimension 4 over $\mathcal{O}$.

If $\tau : I_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ is a continuous semisimple representation that extends to G_F, let $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ be the maximal reduced, p-torsion free quotient of $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}$ such that,

for every $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism $x : R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}} \to \overline{L}$, the corresponding representation $\rho_x : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L})$ satisfies $(\rho_x|_{I_F})^{ss} \cong \tau$.

The goal of this appendix is to prove:

Theorem B.1. *For any $\overline{\rho}$ and τ as above, the ring $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is either Cohen–Macaulay or zero.*

If $p > 2$, then this is the content of section 5.5 of [47]. If $p = 2$ and $\overline{\rho}|_{\tilde{P}_F}$ is non-scalar, then the proof of proposition 5.1 of [47] shows that $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}$ is a completed tensor product of deformation rings of characters, all of whose irreducible components are formally smooth, and that $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is an irreducible component of $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}$; thus $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is formally smooth in this case. From now on, then, we assume that $p = 2$ and that $\overline{\rho}|_{\tilde{P}_F}$ is scalar; by twisting, we may and do assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{\tilde{P}_F}$ is trivial. In this case, we may list the semisimple inertial types τ for which $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ may be non-zero. They are determined by the eigenvalues of $\tau(\sigma)$, which must be of 2-power order and either fixed or interchanged by raising to the power q. Writing $a = v_2(q-1)$ and $b = v_2(q^2-1)$, if $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is non-zero then either

- $\tau = \tau_\zeta$ is the inertial type in which the eigenvalues of $\tau(\sigma)$ are both equal to a 2^ath root of unity, ζ;
- $\tau = \tau_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2}$ is the inertial type in which the eigenvalues of $\tau(\sigma)$ are equal to distinct 2^ath roots of unity ζ_1 and ζ_2;
- $\tau = \tau_\xi$ is the inertial type in which the eigenvalues of $\tau(\sigma)$ are equal to ξ and ξ^q for ξ an 2^bth root of unity with $\xi \neq \xi^q$ (equivalently, with ξ not an 2^ath root of unity).

We also give a version with fixed determinant:

Corollary B.2. *If ψ is any lift of $\det\overline{\rho}$ to $\mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\psi|_{I_F} = \det\tau$, let $R^{\square,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ be the universal framed deformation ring with determinant ψ and type τ. Then $R^{\square,\psi}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. By Theorem B.1, $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay. If we impose a single additional equation $\det\rho(\phi) = \psi(\phi)$, then the ring will still be Cohen–Macaulay provided that $\det\rho(\phi) - \psi(\phi)$ is a non-zerodivisor — in other words, that it doesn't vanish on any irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$. This is the case, since the action of $\mathbb{G}_m^\wedge$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ given by making unramified twists preserves irreducible components but varies the determinant. □

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the affine $\mathcal{O}$-scheme whose R points, for an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra R, are pairs

$$\{(\Sigma,\Phi) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(R) : \Phi\Sigma = \Sigma^q\Phi\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{X}$ is a reduced, $\mathcal{O}$-flat complete intersection of relative dimension 4 over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}$ by the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [48]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{X}$. We write $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1+A & B \\ C & 1+D \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & T-P \end{pmatrix}$, so that $\mathcal{A}$ is a quotient of

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{O}[A,B,C,D,P,Q,R,T][(\det\Sigma)^{-1},(\det\Phi)^{-1}].$$

For any continuous $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, the pair of matrices $\overline{\rho}(\sigma)$ and $\overline{\rho}(\phi)$ give rise to a closed point of $\mathcal{X}$, and so a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}} = \mathcal{A}^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a conjugacy class in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L})$, then there is a unique irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}$ such that, for a dense set of geometric points of that component, the corresponding

matrix Σ has conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$. This provides a bijection between the irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}$ and the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{L})$ that are preserved under the q-power map (by [48] Proposition 2.6). If τ is one of the above inertial types then we write $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$ for the union of those irreducible components corresponding to conjugacy classes with the same characteristic polynomial as $\tau(\sigma)$, with the reduced subscheme structure, and $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ for its coordinate ring. Note that, since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat and $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$ is also $\mathcal{O}$-flat, so that $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is ϖ-torsion free.

Lemma B.3. *If $\tau = \tau_\zeta, \tau_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2}$, or τ_ξ, then $\mathcal{A}(\tau)^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}} = R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-flat and $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{A}$ by an intersection of minimal prime ideals, it is also $\mathcal{O}$-flat. Thus $\mathcal{A}(\tau)^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is also $\mathcal{O}$-flat, by flatness of localisation and completion. Since $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is of finite type over a DVR it is Nagata by [50, Tag 0335]. Since $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is reduced, the completion $\mathcal{A}(\tau)^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is also reduced by [50, Tag 07NZ]. The composite map $\mathcal{A} \to R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}} \twoheadrightarrow R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ factors through a map $\mathcal{A}(\tau) \to R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$, since any function in $\mathcal{A}$ that vanishes on all $\overline{L}$-points of type τ must vanish in $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ by definition. Thus we get a surjection $\mathcal{A}(\tau)^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{A}(\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}} \twoheadrightarrow R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$. However, since $\mathcal{A}(\tau)^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reduced and $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, and has the property that every $\overline{L}$-point gives a Galois representation of type τ, this map is an isomorphism by the definition of $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$. □

Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$, $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$, and $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Then the irreducible components of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ are in bijection with the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$ that are stable under the q-power map (again by [48] Proposition 2.6). Let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_1$ be the irreducible component corresponding to the trivial conjugacy class — this is just the locus where $\Sigma = 1$ — and let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_N$ be that corresponding to the non-trivial unipotent conjugacy class (we give the irreducible components the reduced subscheme structure). Let I_1 and I_N be the prime ideals of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ cutting out $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_N$; these correspond to minimal primes of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. If τ is one of the above inertial types, then we write $I(\tau)$ for the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ cutting out $\mathcal{A}(\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$.

Lemma B.4. *The ideals I_1 and I_N have generators*

$$I_1 = (A, B, C, D)$$
$$I_N = (A^2 + BC, CQ + BR, T, A + D).$$

Proof. The presentation for I_1 is obvious. For I_N, the condition that Σ is unipotent gives $A + D \in I_N$ and $A^2 + BC \in I_N$. If $N = \Sigma - 1$, then the relation $\Phi\Sigma = \Sigma^q\Phi$ becomes $\Phi N = qN\Phi = N\Phi$ (since we are working mod 2), which implies that $CQ + BR = 0$. At any closed point of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_N$ where $N \neq 0$, the eigenvalues of Φ must be in the ratio $1 : q = 1 : 1$, and so $T = 0$. As such closed points are dense on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_N$, we see that $T \in I_N$. Therefore

$$(A^2 + BC, CQ + BR, T, A + D) \subset I_N.$$

The ideal $I = (A^2 + BC, CQ + BR, T, A + D)$ is prime of dimension 4; indeed, $\mathcal{S}/I$ is isomorphic to a localisation of

$$\frac{k[A, B, C]}{(A^2 + BC)}[P, Q, R]/(CQ + BR)$$

which is easily seen to be a 4-dimensional domain. Thus $I \subset I_N$ are prime ideals of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ of the same dimension, and so must be equal. □

Proposition B.5. *Let $\tau = \tau_\xi$. Then $I(\tau) = I_N$.*

Proof. Write $\eta = \xi + \xi^q - 2$. The condition that Σ has characteristic polynomial $(X-\xi)(X-\xi^q)$ shows that, on $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$, we have the equations

$$A + D = \eta$$
$$A(A-\eta) + BC = \eta.$$

Using the first of these, we replace D by $\eta - A$ everywhere. Now, if x is an $\overline{L}$-point of $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$ corresponding to a pair of matrices (Σ_x, Φ_x), then Φ_x exchanges the ξ and ξ^q eigenspaces of Σ_x and so must have trace zero. Therefore on $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$ we have the equation

$$T = 0.$$

Lastly, by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, and the fact that

$$X^q \equiv \xi + \xi^q - X \mod (X-\xi)(X-\xi^q),$$

we see that $\Sigma^q = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\eta-A & -B \\ -C & 1+A \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$. Equating matrix entries in the relation $\Phi\Sigma = \Sigma^q\Phi$, and noting that $T = 0$, we obtain one new equation

$$(2A-\eta)P + BR + CQ = 0.$$

Thus, letting

$$J = (A + D, T, A(A-\eta) + BC - \eta, (2A-\eta)P + BR + CQ)$$

we obtain a surjection $\mathcal{S}/J \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau)$, and therefore a surjection

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}/J \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau).$$

As η is divisible by ϖ, we see that $J + (\varpi) = I_N$, and so we have a surjection $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_N \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$. This must be an isomorphism since $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_N$ is a 4-dimensional domain and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$ is a non-zero 4-dimensional ring. Therefore $I_N = I(\tau)$ as required. □

For the remaining types the following lemma will be useful. If R is a noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime of R, and M is a finitely-generated R-module, let $e_R(M, \mathfrak{p}) = l_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p})$ (this is a special case of the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity).

Lemma B.6. *Let $f : R \to S$ be a surjection of equidimensional rings of the same dimension, and suppose that R is S1 and Nagata. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the minimal primes of R. Suppose that, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_i$ of S such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{m}_i$ but $\mathfrak{p}_j \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_i$ for $i \neq j$. If, for each i, we have*

$$e_R(R, \mathfrak{p}_i) \leq e_{S^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(S^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}_i}, \mathfrak{q}_i)$$

for some minimal prime $\mathfrak{q}_i$ of $S^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$, then f is an isomorphism.

Remark B.7. For those primes $\mathfrak{p}_i$ such that $e_R(R, \mathfrak{p}_i) = 1$ — which is all of them if R is reduced — the required inequality is implied simply by the existence of the $\mathfrak{m}_i$.

Proof. Since R is S1, every associated prime of S is minimal and so, by [50, Tag 0311], it is enough to show that f induces an isomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \to S_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ for each i. Since f is surjective and $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is artinian, it is enough to show that $e_R(R, \mathfrak{p}_i) \leq e_R(S, \mathfrak{p}_i)$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Choose $\mathfrak{m}_i$ and $\mathfrak{q}_i$ as in the hypotheses of the lemma. It is enough to show that for each i,

$$e_R(S, \mathfrak{p}_i) = e_{S^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(S^\wedge_{\mathfrak{m}_i}, \mathfrak{q}_i).$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}_i$ contains a unique minimal prime of R, after localising at $\mathfrak{m}_i$ we may assume that $R \to S$ is a local map of local rings, and that $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is the unique minimal prime of R, and drop i from the notation. The hypothesis that R and S are equidimensional of the same dimension implies that $\mathfrak{p}S$ is the unique minimal prime of S, which we also denote by $\mathfrak{p}$. We have $e_R(S,\mathfrak{p}) = e_S(S,\mathfrak{p})$ since both are just the length of $S_\mathfrak{p}$. Since $S \to S^\wedge$ is flat and $S^\wedge/\mathfrak{p} = (S/\mathfrak{p})^\wedge$ is reduced because R (and hence S) is Nagata, [50, Tag 02M1] implies that $e_S(S,\mathfrak{p}) = e_{S^\wedge}(S^\wedge, \mathfrak{q})$. So

$$e_R(S,\mathfrak{p}) = e_S(S,\mathfrak{p}) = e_{S^\wedge}(S^\wedge,\mathfrak{q}) \geq e_R(R,\mathfrak{p})$$

as required. □

The S1 condition holds, in particular, if R is reduced or Cohen–Macaulay, while the Nagata condition holds if R is of finite type over a field or DVR.

Proposition B.8. *Let $\tau = \tau_\zeta$. Then*

$$I(\tau) = I_N \cap I_1 = (A+D, AT, BT, CT, A^2+BC, BR+CQ).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we twist so that $\zeta = 1$. Write $N = \Sigma - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. On $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, Σ has characteristic polynomial $(X-1)^2$, and so the equations

$$A + D = 0$$
$$A^2 + BC = 0$$

hold on $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$. Moreover, since $(\Sigma - 1)^2 = 0$ on $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem we have that $\Sigma^q = 1 + q(\Sigma - 1) = 1 + qN$ on $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$. The equation $\Phi\Sigma = \Sigma^q\Phi$ becomes $\Phi N = qN\Phi$, and comparing matrix entries we get equations

$$qBR - CQ + (q-1)AP = 0$$
$$(q+1)QA + B(qT - (q+1)P) = 0$$
$$(q+1)RA + C(T - (q+1)P)) = 0$$
$$qCQ - BR + (q-1)A(P-T) = 0.$$

Summing the first and fourth of these gives $(q-1)(BR + CQ + A(2P-T)) = 0$; since $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is $(q-1)$-torsion free, we deduce that

$$BR + CQ + A(2P - T) = 0$$

in $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ and can replace the fourth of the above equations by this.

The ideal cutting out $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ therefore contains the ideal

$$J = \big(A+D, A^2+BC, qBR - CQ + (q-1)AP, (q+1)QA + B(qT-(q+1)P),$$
$$(q+1)RA + C(T-(q+1)P), CQ + BR + A(2P-T)\big).$$

Now, the image of J in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ is

$$(A+D, A^2+BC, BR+CQ, BT, CT, BR+CQ+AT)$$

which is equal to $(A+D, A^2+BC, BR+CQ) + I_1 \cap (T) = I_N \cap I_1$. Therefore there is a surjection

$$f : \overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_N \cap I_1) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau).$$

Write $\tilde{R} = \overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_N \cap I_1)$. Then $\tilde{R}$ is reduced with two minimal primes, which we also call I_N and I_1. Let $\rho_1 : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ be diagonal unramified with distinct

eigenvalues of Frobenius, and let $\rho_N : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ send $\sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_N$ be the corresponding maximal ideals of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$. Then $I_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_1$, $I_N \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_1$, $I_1 \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_N$ and $I_N \subset \mathfrak{m}_N$, so f is an isomorphism by the remark following lemma B.6. □

Proposition B.9. *Let $\tau = \tau_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2}$. Then*

$$I(\tau) = (A + D, BT, CT, CQ + BR, A^2 + BC).$$

Proof. Write $\mu = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 - 2$. The condition that Σ has characteristic polynomial $(X - \zeta_1)(X - \zeta_2)$ is equivalent to the equations

$$A + D = \mu$$
$$A(A - \mu) + BC = \mu.$$

As $X^q \equiv X \mod (X - \zeta_1)(X - \zeta_2)$, we have by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem that $\Sigma^q = \Sigma$ on $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$. The equation $\Phi\Sigma = \Sigma^q\Phi$ therefore becomes $\Phi\Sigma = \Sigma\Phi$, and comparing matrix entries we get three equations (the fourth being redundant):

$$BR - CQ = 0$$
$$Q(2A - \mu) = B(2P - T)$$
$$R(2A - \mu) = C(2P - T).$$

Let

$$J = (A + D - \mu, A(A - \mu) + BC - \mu, BR - CQ, \\ Q(2A - \mu) - B(2P - T), R(2A - \mu) - C(2P - T)). \tag{27}$$

Let I be the image of J in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$, so that

$$I = \left(A + D, BT, CT, CQ + BR, A^2 + BC\right).$$

We have shown that there is a surjection $\mathcal{S}/J \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau)$, and therefore there is a surjection $f : \overline{\mathcal{S}}/I \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$. We have to show that f is an isomorphism. Write $\tilde{R} = \overline{\mathcal{S}}/I$.

Then (see the proof of corollary B.10 below) $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I$ is Cohen–Macaulay, with minimal primes I_1 and I_N, and it is easy to see that $e_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{R}, I_N) = 1$ while $e_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{R}, I_1) = 2$.

Let $\rho_1 : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ be diagonal such that the eigenvalues of $\rho_1(\sigma)$ are ζ_1 and ζ_2, and the eigenvalues of $\rho_1(\phi)$ are distinct modulo ϖ. Let $\rho_N : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ send $\sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_N$ be the corresponding maximal ideals of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$. Then $I_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_1$, $I_N \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_1$, $I_1 \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_N$ and $I_N \subset \mathfrak{m}_N$. By [47] Proposition 5.3, which remains valid when $p = 2$, $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}_1}(\tau)$ is formally smooth over

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}[[X - 1]]}{(X - \zeta_1)(X - \zeta_2)}.$$

Therefore $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}_1}(\tau) \otimes k$ has a unique minimal prime $\mathfrak{q}$ and its multiplicity is 2. By lemmas B.3 and B.6, f is an isomorphism. □

Corollary B.10. *(of propositions B.5, B.8 and B.9) For $\tau = \tau_\xi$, τ_ζ, or τ_{ζ_1,ζ_2}, $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Since ϖ is a regular element of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, it suffices to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)$ is Cohen–Macaulay. This can easily be checked in magma; we sketch an alternative proof by hand. If $\tau = \tau_\xi$, then by proposition B.5, $I(\tau) = I_N$. But $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_N$ is a complete intersection ring of dimension 4, and therefore is Cohen–Macaulay. If $\tau = \tau_\zeta$, then by proposition B.8, $I(\tau_\xi) = I_1 \cap I_N$. Now, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_N$ are Cohen–Macaulay of dimension 4 (the latter by the previous case), while $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_1 + I_N)$ is regular, and so Cohen–Macaulay, of dimension 3. By exercise 18.13 of [16], $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_1 \cap I_N)$ is also Cohen–Macaulay. Finally, if $\tau = \tau_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2}$ then by proposition B.9, $I(\tau) = (A+D, A^2+BC, BR+CQ, BT, CT)$. Let $I = I(\tau)$. Since $I + (AT) = I_1 \cap I_N$ and $AT \cdot I_1 = 0$, there is an exact sequence of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I$-modules

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_1 \xrightarrow{AT} \overline{\mathcal{S}}/I \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_1 \cap I_N) \to 0.$$

The first map must be injective, since I_1 is prime and $e_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I, I_1) = 2 > 1 = e_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_1 \cap I_N), I_1)$. Since we have shown that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/(I_1 \cap I_N)$ are maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I$, so is $\overline{\mathcal{S}}/I$ (by [54] Proposition 1.3). □

Since $R^{\square}_{\overline{\rho}}(\tau)$ is a completion of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ by lemma B.3, and a completion of a Cohen–Macaulay ring is Cohen–Macaulay (by [50, Tag 07NX]), we obtain Theorem B.1.

Acknowledgements. YH was partially supported by China's Recruitement Program of Global Experts and National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. VP was partially supported by SFB/TR45 of DFG. The project started when YH visited VP in 2013 supported by SFB/TR45 and he would like to thank the University Duisburg-Essen for the invitation and the hospitality. The authors would like to thank Jack Shotton for the appendix to the paper, as well as Toby Gee, James Newton and Jack Thorne for their comments.

References

[1] P. B. Allen Deformations of polarized automorphic Galois representations and adjoint Selmer groups, *Duke Math. J.* 165 (2016), no. 13, 2407–2460.
[2] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris and R. Taylor A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy II, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 47 (2011), no. 1, 29-98.
[3] L. Barthel and R. Livné, Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field, *Duke Math. J.* 75 (1994), 261–292.
[4] L. Berger and C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Astérisque* 330 (2010), 155–211.
[5] C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: I, *Compositio Math.* 138 (2003), 165–188.
[6] C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: II, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 2 (2003), 1–36.
[7] C. Breuil and A. Mézard, Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ et de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$, *Duke Math. J.* 115 (2002), 205–310.
[8] C. Breuil and V. Paškūnas, Towards a mod p Langlands correspondence for GL_2, *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 216, 2012.
[9] C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko, Smooth representations of p-adic reductive groups: structure theory via types, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 77 (1998) 582–634.
[10] K. Buzzard, F. Diamond and F. Jarvis, On Serre's conjecture for mod ℓ Galois representations over totally real fields, *Duke Math. J.* 55 (2010), 105-161.
[11] A. Caraiani, M. Emerton, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, V. Paškūnas and S.W. Shin, Patching and the p-adic Langlands program for $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, Preprint 2016, available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06902`.

[12] P. Colmez, Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ,Γ)-modules, *Astérisque* 330 (2010), 281–509.
[13] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu and V. Paškūnas, The p-adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Camb. J. Math.* 2 (2014), no. 1, 1–47.
[14] M. Emerton and T. Gee, A geometric perspective on the Breuil–Mézard conjecture, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 13 (2014), no. 1, 183-223.
[15] M. Emerton, T. Gee and D. Savitt, Lattices in the cohomology of Shimura curves, *Invent. Math.* 200 (2015), 1–96.
[16] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, GTM 150, Springer, 2008.
[17] J.-M. Fontaine, Représentations p-adiques semi-stables, *Astérisque* 223 (1994), 113–184.
[18] S. Galatius and A. Venkatesh, Derived Galois deformation rings, Preprint 2016 `https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07236`.
[19] T. Gee, Modularity lifting theorems - Notes for Arizona Winter School, available at `http://wwwf.imperial.ac.uk/~tsg/`.
[20] T. Gee and M. Kisin, The Breuil–Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti–Tate representations, *Forum Math Pi*, (2014), e1, 56 pp.
[21] D.J.Glover, A study of certain modular representations, *J. Algebra* 51 (1978), 425-475.
[22] D. Helm, Curtis homomorphisms and the integral Bernstein centre for GL_n, Preprint 2016 available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.00487`
[23] G. Henniart, Sur l'unicité des types pour GL_2, Appendix to [7].
[24] Y. Hu and F. Tan, The Breuil–Mézard conjecture for split non-scalar residual representations, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 48 (2015), no. 6, 1383–1421.
[25] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, Serre's modularity conjecture (I), *Invent. Math.* 178 (2009), 485–504.
[26] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, Serre's modularity conjecture (II), *Invent. Math.* 178 (2009), 505–586.
[27] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, 'On Serre's conjecture for 2-dimensional mod p representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$', *Ann. of Math.* 169 (2009), 229–253.
[28] M. Kisin, Modularity of 2-dimensional Galois representations, *Current developments in mathematics, 2005*, 191–230, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.
[29] M. Kisin, Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti–Tate representations, *Invent. Math.* 178 (2009), 587–634.
[30] M. Kisin, Potentially semi-stable deformation rings, *J.A.M.S.* 21(2) (2008), 513–546.
[31] M. Kisin, The Fontaine–Mazur conjecture for GL_2, *J.A.M.S.* 22(3) (2009), 641–690.
[32] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Second edition, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 8. CUP, Cambridge, 1989.
[33] B. Mazur, Deforming Galois representations, *Galois groups over* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 385–437.
[34] S. Morra, Explicit description of irreducible $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-representations over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, *J. Algebra* 339 (2011), 252–303.
[35] S. Morra, Invariant elements for p-modular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365 (2013), 6625-6667.
[36] V. Paškūnas, On some crystalline representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Algebra and Number Theory* 3:4 (2009), 411–421.
[37] V. Paškūnas, Admissible unitary completions of locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, *Represent. Theory* 14 (2010), 324–354.
[38] V. Paškūnas, The image of Colmez's Montreal functor, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 118 (2013), 1–191.
[39] V. Paškūnas, Blocks for mod p representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 2*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **415**, 231–247, CUP, 2014.
[40] V. Paškūnas, On the Breuil–Mézard Conjecture, *Duke Math. J.* 164 (2015), no. 2, 297–359.
[41] V. Paškūnas, On 2-adic deformations, *Math. Z.*(2016). doi:10.1007/s00209-016-1785-8.
[42] V. Paškūnas, On 2-dimensional 2-adic Galois representations of local and global fields, *Algebra and Number Theory* **10** (2016), no. 6, 1301–1358.
[43] V. Pilloni, The study of 2-dimensional p-adic Galois deformations in the $l \neq p$ case, 2008.
[44] F. Sander, Hilbert–Samuel multiplicities of certain deformation rings, *Math. Res. Lett.* 21 (2014), no. 3, 605–615.

[45] P. Schneider and U. Stuhler, Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat–Tits building, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 85 (1997), 97–191.
[46] B. Schraen, Sur la présentation des représentations supersingulières de $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 704 (2015); pp 187–208.
[47] J. Shotton, Local deformation rings for GL_2 and a Breuil–Mézard conjecture when $l \neq p$, *Algebra and Number Theory* 10 (2016), no. 7, 1437-1475.
[48] J. Shotton, The Breuil–Mézard conjecture when $l \neq p$, Preprint 2016 available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.01784`.
[49] A. Snowden, Singularities of ordinary deformation rings, preprint (2011).
[50] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2017.
[51] R. Taylor, On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L-functions, *Documenta Mathematica*, Extra Volume: John Coates' Sixtieth Birthday (2006), 729–779.
[52] R.Taylor, On icosahedral Artin representations. II, *Amer. J. Math.* 125 (2003), 549–566.
[53] M.-F. Vignéras, A criterion for integral structures and coefficient systems on the tree of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F)$, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 4:4 (2008), 1291–1316.
[54] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen–Macaulay modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, CUP, 1990.

Morningside Center of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 100190, China
E-mail: `yhu@amss.ac.cn`

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Thea-Leymann-Str. 9, 45127 Essen, Germany
E-mail: `paskunas@uni-due.de`

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60615, USA
E-mail: `jshotton@uchicago.edu`